

# The Land Report

A publication of The Land Institute / Number 90 / Spring 2008



## Connecting Climate and Energy in Public Imagination

David W. Orr: Optimism and Hope in a Hotter Time

Herman E. Daly: From “Know How” to “Do Now”

# Contents

## Features

### Climate & Energy Project

The Land Institute's work to connect climate and energy in the public imagination.

An Invitation—R.S.V.P. ....	4
Our Approach.....	5
Our Projects .....	6
What You'll Find on Our Web Site.....	8
Want to Make a Difference Today?.....	9
Conversation Starters .....	10
Carbon Dioxide Regulation: Not If, But How and When.....	12
<b>Climate Policy: From "Know How" to "Do Know"</b> Herman E. Daly .....	15
<b>Optimism and Hope in a Hotter Time</b> David W. Orr.....	19
<b>Variation on the Theme: An Invited Response</b> Conn Nugent.....	23
<b>Notes on the History of World Energy Use</b> Vaclav Smil.....	32

## Regulars

At the Land .....	3
Prairie Festival Recordings.....	13
Thanks to Our Contributors .....	24
The Writers and Artists .....	31
Donation Form.....	31

Cover: *Hay Rack*, woodcut by Harry Shokler. From the Steven Schmidt Collection, courtesy of the Spencer Museum of Art, University of Kansas.

Want to share a story from *The Land Report*? Unless the writer objects, you may photocopy from the magazine or get the piece by e-mail. Write to Scott at [bontz@landinstitute.org](mailto:bontz@landinstitute.org) or our street address in the column at right, or call him at 785-823-5376.

## The Land Institute Mission Statement

When people, land and community are as one, all three members prosper; when they relate not as members but as competing interests, all three are exploited. By consulting nature as the source and measure of that membership, The Land Institute seeks to develop an agriculture that will save soil from being lost or poisoned, while promoting a community life at once prosperous and enduring.

### Land Institute Staff

Scott Allegrucci  
Ron Armstrong  
Christina Arnold  
Martha Bryant  
Scott Bontz  
Cindy Cox  
Sheila Cox  
Stan Cox  
Lee DeHaan  
Liz Elmore  
Jerry Glover  
Maril Hazlett  
Eileen Horn  
Stephanie Hutchinson  
Joan Jackson  
Nancy Jackson  
Wes Jackson  
Patricia Johnson  
Ron Kinkelaar  
Glenn Laubhan  
John Mai  
Grant Mallett  
Bob Pinkall  
Harris Rayl  
Steve Renich  
John Schmidt  
Tiffany Stucky  
David Van Tassel  
Debbie Turner  
Ken Warren  
Darlene Wolf

### Land Institute

#### Board of Directors

Anne Simpson Byrne  
Strachan Donnelley  
Steve Ells  
Terry Evans  
Pete Ferrell  
Jan Flora  
Wes Jackson  
Eulah Laucks (emeritus)  
Mary Laucks  
Conn Nugent  
Victoria Ranney  
Lloyd Schermer  
John Simpson  
Donald Worster  
Angus Wright



2440 E. Water Well Road  
Salina, KS 67401  
Phone: 785-823-5376  
Fax: 785-823-8728  
[theland@landinstitute.org](mailto:theland@landinstitute.org)  
[www.landinstitute.org](http://www.landinstitute.org)

ISSN 1093-1171

The Land Report is published three times a year.  
Editor: Scott Bontz  
Associate editor: Darlene Wolf

# At the Land

## Perennial Grain Breeding

Breeder David Van Tassel is studying how the density of plants in a given area affects their growth, including seed yield. Packing plants together allows the crop to cover the ground with leaves more quickly, gives more heads per acre and makes smaller plants on average—potentially good things. But packed plants might dry the soil too early. And plants that are stunted—with small heads, thinner, weaker stalks and shorter roots—could be more vulnerable to wind or drought. Getting the most grain over five to 10 years, some of them wet and some of them dry, might take a compromise density.

Managing density could be a special challenge with perennial grains, which is our mission and something new to farming. Unlike annuals, which start from seed each year, herbaceous perennials usually reproduce clonally, increasing root and stem density. Clonal species could eventually reach a steady density, but it might be much higher than best for grain production. We want to know if we can breed perennials to control their own density, or if we'll need to thin them.

In addition to reading literature from past studies of density and yield, David has begun a greenhouse experiment with sunflowers.

He's also developing a database to track individual plants, experiments, seed populations and pedigrees for all of our breeding.

Perennial sunflowers from cross-breeding different species made seeds last year. Some plants made many, and in a few cases there were many seeds larger than those in the perennial parent plant, though still small compared with those from the annual parent. From this harvest we plan to plant select seeds in early spring.

Sheila Cox found seed in about 40 new crosses she made between annual sunflower varieties and several perennial sunflower species. Some of these seeds have been planted in the greenhouse. Sheila will try to cross results with proven hybrids, ones that had good seeds and pollen production.

More than 95 percent of the wheat hybrids that the perennial wheat program made last winter, mostly by crossing existing hybrids with the perennial intermediate wheatgrass, could not make pollen. Plant breeder Lee DeHaan's crew is crossing these with the few pollen producers to see if seeds form. Male-sterility is a common hurdle in crossing species, but over generations can be overcome to make fertile plants that don't need such babying.

From a breeding nursery for wheatgrass, which he is selecting from directly to make a grain crop, in addition to crossing with wheat, Lee found a few extremely large seeds. They were about three times the size of the usual in wheatgrass grown only as forage. In the greenhouse, the young plants from these seeds appeared so robust the he initially thought they were wheat plants, not the slower pe-

rennial. Large seed can make for rapid establishment of a plant, will make harvesting easier, and will produce better flour when milled.

## New Staff Members

Maril Hazlett, Eileen Horn and Christina Arnold work for our Climate & Energy Project, which is featured in the this *Land Report*. For more about them see page 5. The project's goal is to help Midwesterners understand how the connection of energy and climate affects their lives, and what they can do about it.

## New Board Member

Steve Ells is founder and chief executive officer of Chipotle Mexican Grill, which makes fast food but aims for fresh ingredients, and over its 15 years increasingly has applied ethics to how the food is raised. The publicly traded, Denver-based business has more than 640 restaurants.

## Presentations Made

Wes Jackson was among interview subjects in *The 11th Hour*, an ecological crisis documentary produced and narrated by Leonardo DiCaprio, and released last fall.

Filmmaker Aaron Lucich interviewed Jackson and institute scientists Jerry Glover and Cindy Cox for *We Are What We Eat*, a documentary about the effects of how food is grown. For more, see [wearewhatweeatthemovie.com](http://wearewhatweeatthemovie.com).

Wes also was interviewed for a project called YERT, Your Environmental Road Trip. For a year, Mark Dixon, Ben Evans and Julie Dingman Evans are visiting all 50 states "with video camera in hand and tongue in cheek" to report on Americans and environmental sustainability. See [www.yert.com](http://www.yert.com).

Wes spoke at the Federal Reserve Bank in Chicago, in Charleston, South Carolina, for talks sponsored by Center for Humans and Nature, at the University of Florida in Gainesville, and in Albuquerque, New Mexico, for The Quivira Coalition. Managing Director Ken Warren spoke for a sustainability seminar at Kansas State University.

## Presentations Scheduled

April 7, Pitzer College, Claremont, California.

April 9, University of California, Santa Barbara.

April 22, International Symposium on Agroterrorism, Kansas City, Missouri.

The previous dates are tentative. Call to confirm.

May 3, Webster University, St. Louis.

August 5, North American Prairie Conference, Winona, Minnesota.

September 5, Muddy Boot Organic Festival, Portland, Oregon.

For more, call or see Calendar at [www.landinstitute.org](http://www.landinstitute.org).

# On Climate and Energy, an Invitation—R.S.V.P.

Just over a year ago, my beloved father-in-law and I had what he calls a “spirited conversation” in the kitchen. Wes had just testified in Topeka and Lawrence against two large, coal-fired power plants proposed for western Kansas. I respectfully submitted that a successful fight against global warming would go well beyond testimony in eastern Kansas—that it would require broad, deep engagement with the citizens of the Midwest and would focus more on positive, can-do solutions than on crippling threats. As those who know me can well imagine, I got all impassioned and populist on him.

So then Wes said, “How important is this issue to you?” Full of conviction, I replied, echoing our friend David Orr, that global warming has to be at the top of the list, that if we get this wrong, everything else I care about—social justice, education, health care, ecological balance, and in the bargain our bountiful Kansas River valley and my girls’ future—is threatened.

“Would you quit your job,” he asked, “to work on this full time?” I loved my job and the remarkable people I worked with. I had been at the University of Kansas for more than a decade, and had long chosen security over risk in virtually all things. But without hesitation I answered, “In a heartbeat.” And a few weeks later, I took a giant, incredibly uncomfortable leap into the unknown and did just that.

Fair warning: That’s what climate change will do to you. The enormity of the risk—and the immediate availability of myriad solutions—dawns fully first in your mind, then moves stealthily toward your heart. Once comfortably nestled in both head and heart, the issue proves impossible to dislodge—it colors each new consumer choice, every vote and a surprising number of conversations.

We at The Land Institute, an organization long dedicated to the future of food and farmers, are determined to do all we can to get our friends and neighbors thinking, talking and learning about climate change and its solutions.

As you will see in this *Land Report*, we are looking for every angle of entry: respectful, compassionate, creative ways to begin conversations that foster dialogue rather than provoking knee-jerk reactions or dismissal. We welcome

your suggestions, and challenge you to employ your most creative idea today with a skeptical co-worker, neighbor or relative.

Friends, we are in a race—to avoid the worst global warming and to adapt creatively to the changes we’ve already bought. We can and must win this one *together*, with the likeminded supporting the otherwise-inclined.

Are you in?



Nancy Jackson  
Executive director, Climate & Energy Project

The Climate & Energy Project works to develop conversations about our state’s energy future.

This past year, we’ve embarked on several programs that connect residents, legislators and students with balanced and credible information about climate change and energy in Kansas.

In addition to telling of our programs here, we’ve included tools for you, readers of the *Land Report*. In the following pages you’ll find actions for combating climate change as individuals, and also tips for our collective action. In these critical times, we must work together to engage our elected leaders, friends, families and neighbors in conversation.

To learn even more, please visit our Web site, [www.climateandenergy.org](http://www.climateandenergy.org).



# Our Approach

**T**he Climate & Energy Project seeks to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 60 to 80 percent by 2050 and avoid triggering the worst climate change. That's a tall order.

With a small staff, an urgent mission and a dedication to spending charitable dollars wisely and well, our first task was to develop effective strategy. Put another way, we needed to figure how we might most effectively support the deepest cuts, the fastest. Here is what we discovered.

Today, much of the Midwest gets 75 percent of its electricity from coal, a tremendous source of greenhouse gas emissions. The world's coal reserves are five times larger than oil and natural gas reserves combined. So, the electric industry appeared to present the opportunity for the greatest cuts. But could they be fast?

That's where things really got exciting. Because in fact, the electric industry expects that the federal government will act to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, effectively putting a price on carbon dioxide, whether through cap-and-trade or an outright tax. Many utilities are seeking to reduce their exposure to such financial risks.

The United States in general and the Midwest in particular have two relatively quick and cost-effective ways to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and build a bridge to a renewable energy economy in the 21st century. First, energy efficiency and conservation can make speedy and often permanent demand reductions at considerably lower cost than new generation. Second, aggressive development of renewable energy, which is increasingly cost competitive, provides alternatives to traditional, fossil-fueled generation. Neither strategy is sufficient in itself, but together they have tremendous power to reduce emissions in the short term and create resiliency for the long haul.

Energy efficiency means using less energy to power our lives. It is the "first fuel" because it is often cheaper and easier to attain than new sources of electricity generation. Efficiency means using the best technology to get the most from energy. We get it with things like compact fluorescent lights, appliances with Energy Star certification and better building insulation and weather sealing.

Utilities tend to like technological efficiency—smart meters, programmable thermostats, building renovations. That is because we humans are fallible animals. We want to do well, but in practice, those who change key behaviors—forego summer air conditioning, for example, turn heat down at night in winter, abbreviate hot showers or hang clothes on the line—frequently revert to previous behaviors or adopt new ones. Nifty gadgets—and buildings that are tighter in the first place—allow utilities to reduce demand dependably for fairly accurate resource planning.

Of course, energy efficiency is most powerful when

## Climate & Energy Project Staff

Nancy Jackson, executive director, holds a master's degree in environmental history and brings experience in scholarly publishing and equities research. She raises funds for the project and keeps CEP engaged in the Governor's Wind Working Group and work groups for the Midwest Governors Association Greenhouse Gas Accord and the Presidential Climate Action Plan.

As projects director, Scott Allegrucci manages CEP's relationships with press, as well as projects including statewide wind workshops and energy efficiency public service announcements. He was director of tourism for Kansas, has a degree in anthropology, and has been an actor, writer and director.

Maril Hazlett, who holds a doctorate in environmental history, is research director. She also manages the project's Web site, [www.climateandenergy.org](http://www.climateandenergy.org).

Eileen Horn, community outreach coordinator, works to foster discussion with communities across Kansas. She has a master's degree in natural resources.

Helping keep all at the project in order is administrative assistant Christina Arnold, who has a bachelor's degree in journalism.

combined with voluntary, long-term behavior changes: conservation. Many reading these pages will be familiar with Amory Lovins' concept of the negawatt—the most powerful kilowatt hour is the one you don't use. As above, these are the toughest changes to make and to maintain—and should be pursued with vigor.

Renewable energy refers to electricity supplied from sources that are continuously replenished. They include wind, sun, geothermal, water and various forms of biomass. Kansas ranks third in wind energy potential, so much of our work at CEP focuses on supporting commercial, community and small-scale wind development.

We seek to foster conversations at every level, from grass-roots to high policy. Change in either area without the other will prove difficult to implement. Yet together, grass-roots sentiment and ambitious policy will succeed in producing speedy and permanent emission reductions, putting us on track to meet our goal of 30 percent by 2030 and 60 to 80 percent by 2050.

# Our Projects

The Climate & Energy Project works to connect people, organizations and ideas for conversations about our energy future. This past year, we've begun several projects to give residents, legislators and students good information and get them talking about what to do.

## CEP Poll

What do Kansans really think about climate and energy? Rather than accept the popular stereotypes, such as “Kansans support coal power and don't want to develop wind energy,” the CEP commissioned an independent polling firm to establish a solid understanding of public opinion.

Our intent wasn't to release results, but to use them for designing our educational programs. However, the findings were so contrary to conventional wisdom that we changed our minds.

Here is what the poll found: 62 percent of Kansans agreed with the state Health and Environment secretary's decision to deny air quality permits for two proposed 700-megawatt coal burning plants. Thirty-one percent disagreed, with 7 percent unsure. Support for the decision rose to 70 percent in the Kansas suburbs of Kansas City. In western Kansas, where the plants would be built, a remarkable 51 percent favored the decision, 40 percent disagreed. In the rest of the state, 30 percent or less disagreed. The survey also found that an overwhelming 75 percent of Kansans favored a more aggressive pursuit of wind energy.

The poll has been widely cited in state and national media to challenge stereotypes of Kansans' opinions and broaden conversation about climate and energy. A January 14 *Wichita Eagle* editorial said, “The Kansas Legislature is gearing up for a potentially nasty and unproductive session on energy, with some lawmakers vowing to overturn the Sebelius administration's denial of the Holcomb coal-plant expansion. Before they get too up in arms, though, they might want to check with their constituents. ... Some lawmakers rushed to dismiss the survey, saying it was com-

missioned by an environmental group (true, Salina's Land Institute). ... But there's nothing to indicate the poll was leading or biased. The wording was neutral and fair.”

## Community Wind Forums

Developing renewable energy is one of the ways we can reduce greenhouse gas emissions and prevent further climate change. Wind power is a proven technology, operational and profitable throughout the world, and the Midwest is rich in wind energy potential.

Kansas ranks third in the nation for wind energy potential. The National Renewable Energy Laboratory estimates that by 2030 the state could produce 7,100 megawatts of wind energy. Its peak summer demand is about 11,000 megawatts. But Kansas lags other states, and by the end of 2008 will field just over 1,000 megawatts.

Wind developers of all sizes can fill this gap. We especially support community wind projects, to keep revenue in the state and help rural economies. Minnesota, Iowa and Texas boast numerous successful examples of community wind, including farmer-owned cooperatives, and wind installations at schools that provide both energy and a profit. To date, however, policy supports for community wind do not exist in Kansas.

In an interview on [blog.climateandenergy.org](http://blog.climateandenergy.org), Kansas Rural Center's executive director, Dan Nagengast, said, “I would like to see a whole new layer of economy spread out over as much of rural Kansas as possible—with lots of opportunities, with people moving back out to rural Kansas. People in cities trying to catch trains so they can move out to rural Kansas, trying to figure out how they can be a part of the rebirth. There would be another whole level of industry and jobs based on manufacturing energy technologies that don't have environmental or greenhouse gas implications. I'd like to see Kansas a much more prosperous place.”

In spring CEP will work with the center to hold six Community Wind Forums in western Kansas.

---

The prevailing theories were that civilizations collapsed because of political, military or medical reasons—plagues. Climate was often factored out. And yet, indifference to the power of nature is civilization's Achilles' heel. —Heidi Cullen, host of the Weather Channel's *Forecast Earth*, in an interview with *The New York Times*

# Take Charge!

## Community Energy Forums

Concern over climate change has galvanized mainstream public interest in the environment like never before. More than anything, the Climate & Energy Project finds that people are hungry for information. Learning about climate and energy from the popular media can be difficult and confusing—and learning about it from pundits is all but impossible. By sponsoring the Take Charge! Community Energy Forums, CEP helped the public meet directly with specialists who can answer their questions.

The forums were held at Kansas Wesleyan University in Salina, Johnson County Community College in Overland Park, Kansas, and Washburn University in the capital, Topeka. By working with universities, CEP hoped to foster dialogue among students, other residents and legislators. Four hundred people attended.

They met panelists including energy utility executives, wind developers and researchers for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change who took scores of questions about wind energy, energy efficiency, energy transmission, climate change and carbon regulation. Interest kept each event going well past its scheduled time.

“What is your dream for Kansas’ energy future?”

That’s what we asked people at our energy forums. Here are some of their answers:

“A reliable and efficient source of energy that wouldn’t be damaging to the environment as well as our finances.”

“To be totally dependent on renewable energy, while still protecting intact, native grasslands and the wildlife that inhabit them.”

“To become a major wind energy supplier to our nation.”

“A conservation consciousness among Kansans.”

“A balanced set of energy options.”

“Energy provided at an affordable price for poor people as well as rich.”



From left, Wendell Nickell, Jerry Brown and Frank Costanza at the forum January 21 in Salina. Costanza is with wind developer Tradewind Energy. Scott Bontz photo.

# What You'll Find on Our Web Site

**E**ven for people who closely follow news about the environment, keeping up on climate and energy can be complex. For those just starting to take serious notice, finding simple, reliable information presents an even greater challenge. To help, the Climate & Energy Project developed a Web site both extensive and easily used, [www.climateandenergy.org](http://www.climateandenergy.org).

Early results confirm that our strategy is working. A high proportion of users spend a long time browsing through our issue summaries, glossary and other features. They follow links to resources like the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Kansas State University Extension's Energy Conservation Tips, and Windpowering America, which is a program of the Energy Department's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

Being able to link facts about climate and energy science to your daily life and environment makes you more likely to act on what you know. One of the site's goals is to cultivate feelings of connection, belonging and stewardship. Ultimately, it is this sense of place that will make the difference in addressing climate change.

## The Features

### Exploring the Issues

Succinct, comprehensive summaries of climate change, renewable energy, energy security, energy efficiency and energy transport.

### For Digging Deeper

Fact sheets, glossary, library of resources and a timely blog.

### How to Act

Practical steps for energy use, with pointers for farms, businesses and congregations, and protecting natural resources.

### Pass It On

Tell someone else what you've learned about climate and energy, and share your thoughts with legislators, too.

### Newsletter

Provide your e-mail address to receive our quarterly newsletter, *Currents*, for news about climate and energy, our work, interviews with climate and energy specialists and profiles of work by everyday people.



**Stewardship. Resilience.**  
creating climate and energy conv

Edward C. Robison III photo, as used on the Climate & Energy Project's Web site.

# Want to Make a Difference Today?

**B**y using efficient technologies that are already available, the American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy says, we could slash by almost 80 percent the cost of heating, cooling and lighting our workplaces and homes. Even modest increases in energy efficiency could eliminate the need for 600 new power plants projected on current use patterns, growing population and replacement of old plants.

From our Web site, here's a sample of tips for efficiency and conservation. For more, see [www.climateandenergy.org/TakeStep/TakestepsOverview/Index/htm](http://www.climateandenergy.org/TakeStep/TakestepsOverview/Index/htm).

## For Free

- Appliances can draw power even when not in use—an unseen drain called phantom load. Consider plugging your TVs, DVD players, computers, etc., into power strips, then pull that one plug when you turn them off.

- Small, rechargeable electronics like cell phones and iPods continue to draw up to 95 percent of the electricity even when fully charged. Only plug them in when you need

to charge them. When they are fully charged, unplug the charger from the outlet.

- At night during the winter, lower your thermostat 7 to 10 degrees.

- Know that for every degree you raise an air conditioner thermostat in summer, energy consumption falls 3 to 5 percent.

- Myth: Once the air conditioner is on, you should leave it on all summer. Really, you can turn it on only at night to help you sleep.

- Use the microwave, Crock-Pot and toaster oven more often than the stovetop or oven, which use much more energy.

- Lower the temperature on the hot water heater to no more than 120 degrees. Water heating can account for 14 to 25 percent of the energy consumed in your home.

## For \$25 and Less

- Use compact fluorescent lights, replacing first the incandescent bulbs in fixtures that you use most. If every U.S. household replaced their five highest-use fixtures with compact fluorescents, we would prevent the emission of greenhouse gases equivalent to the annual emissions from more than 8 million cars. You can also save up to \$67 on energy costs over the lifetime of a single bulb.

- Check your furnace or air conditioner filter every month, and clean and replace it as needed. Dirty filters increase bills and shorten appliance life.

- Insulate hot water tanks, hot water pipes and exposed ductwork in basements, attics and crawlspaces.

- Seal and weather-strip doors and windows.

## From \$25 to \$500

- When buying any appliance, check not just purchase price, but what energy costs will be over the product's life. Check for EnergyStar labels.

- Get a home energy audit. Check with your utility company for someone who provides this service.

- Install a programmable thermostat that will adjust the temperature automatically during hours no one is home.

- Add extra insulation to your attic. Ideally, all U.S. homes should have between R-38 and R-49. Also seal and insulate basements and crawlspaces.

- Do you need to replace your water heating system? Consider a tankless water heater, which provides on-demand hot water rather than keeping 40 gallons hot constantly.



Balance. Innovation.  
versations

# Conversation Starters

**T**o start and to continue a conversation about climate change and energy is often challenging. The ideas are complex, and can invoke conflicting desires.

At the Climate & Energy Project, we've found that because of the field's complexity, there are several entry points, including science, technology, economics, national security and moral responsibility. On the next two pages we've pulled together some of the most thorough research in these areas and highlighted the main points.

So next time someone asks, "Why should I care about climate change?" you'll be ready with an answer.

## The Science

Due to rising concern about climate change, in 1988 the United Nations established the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. The IPCC released reports in 1990, 1995, 2001 and 2007. Here are the main points, taken from the 4th Assessment's Executive Summary for Policymakers, at [www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/syr/ar4\\_syr\\_spm.pdf](http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/syr/ar4_syr_spm.pdf).

- Eleven of the last 12 years (1995-2006) rank among the 12 warmest in the record of global surface temperature since 1850.

- Evidence from all continents and most oceans shows that many natural systems are being affected by regional climate changes, particularly temperature increases. Of particular concern are the melting of Arctic sea ice and ice sheets, which have historically acted to reflect substantial energy coming from the sun, and might now contribute to accelerated warming. Similarly, the southern oceans, which have historically absorbed carbon dioxide, are increasingly unable.

- Atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide today are more than 30 percent greater than at any other time in the past 700,000 years. Global increases in carbon dioxide concentration are due primarily to fossil fuel use, with land-use change—including the growth of cities, the clearing of forests and the spread of agriculture—providing another significant but smaller contribution.

- Changes in extreme weather, together with sea level rise, are expected mostly to hurt natural and human systems.

- Many effects can be reduced, delayed or avoided by reducing rapidly our global greenhouse gas emissions. Many of those reductions are available at cost savings and could be achieved all but immediately. The longer we delay, the more it costs, both to halt climate change and to deal with its effects.

## The Economics

Taken largely from "Reducing U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions: How Much at What Cost?" produced by McKinsey & Company. The report is at <http://www.mckinsey.com/client-service/ccsi/greenhousegas.asp>.

- Annual greenhouse gas emissions in the United States are projected to rise from 7.2 billion tons in 2005 to 9.7 billion tons in 2030—an increase of 35 percent. That is the path to avoid. McKinsey says we can reduce greenhouse gas emissions 3 to 4.5 billion tons at costs less than \$50 per ton. Nearly 40 percent of those reductions could be achieved at negative cost—that is, with positive economic returns over the lifecycle of the investment. Achieving these reductions at the lowest cost to the economy will require strong, coordinated action—and soon.

- McKinsey estimates the following savings by sector. Energy efficiency in building and appliances: 710-870 million tons. Increasing fuel efficiency in vehicles and the carbon intensity of transportation fuels: 340-660 million tons. Improvements in industry, including process changes, motor efficiency and combined heat and power: 620-770 million tons. Increasing forest stocks and improving soil management practices to sequester carbon in soil: 440-590 million tons. Changing power generation to include more wind and solar, possibly nuclear, and eventually carbon capture and storage: 800-1,570 million tons. This is the most capital-intensive category.

- Much of the technology required to cut emissions dramatically is available today. To unlock that potential, we need strong policy. For strong policy, we must recognize the collective effect of individual decisions, embrace paybacks in five to 10 years rather than the currently desired two to three years, and do away with traditional mismatches between who pays the cost of reduction and who gains the benefit—such as homebuilder vs. homeowner, landlord vs. renter. As one energy efficiency specialist in Kansas says, "We could have it really good. We just have to decide to have it really good." Even the most economically beneficial options will require a new set of aggressive policies.

- Many of the most economically attractive abatement options are "time perishable": Every year we delay producing energy-efficient commercial buildings, houses, motor vehicles and so forth, the more negative-cost options we lose. The cost of building energy efficiency into any product is typically a fraction of the cost of retrofitting it later or retiring it before its useful life is over. In addition, the earlier our savings are realized, the fewer new power plants need to be built. In short: Sooner is better and much cheaper.

■ Almost everything we do to cut greenhouse gas emissions will stimulate new businesses and economic opportunities. For example, in addition to the energy savings, every million dollars invested in energy efficiency also creates more than 20 jobs.

## National Security Implications

The nonprofit researcher CNA Corp. assembled 11 retired generals and admirals to prepare a report called “National Security and the Threat of Climate Change.” It’s at [securityandclimate.cna.org/report/](http://securityandclimate.cna.org/report/). Following are excerpts.

■ “Projected climate change poses a serious threat to America’s national security.” —from the report’s executive summary

■ “We never have 100 percent certainty. We never have it. If you wait until you have 100 percent certainty, something bad is going to happen on the battlefield . . . . The Cold War was a specter, but climate change is inevitable. If we keep on with business as usual, we will reach a point where some of the worst effects are inevitable.” —Army Gen. Gordon R. Sullivan

■ “Climate change will provide the conditions that will extend the war on terror. You have very real changes in natural systems that are likely to happen in regions of the world that are already fertile ground for extremism. Droughts, violent weather, ruined agricultural fields—these are the kinds of stresses we’ll see more of under climate change.” —Navy Adm. T. Joseph Lopez

■ “Focus on conservation and on energy sources that aren’t based in carbon . . . . Solving the energy problem solves a real security problem. You get to choose your points of engagement. It’s like one of the things your grandmother told you. ‘Don’t go looking for trouble. If you find trouble, you have to deal with it—but don’t go looking for it!’” —Air Force Lt. Gen. Lawrence P. Farrell Jr.

■ “The path to mitigating the worst security consequences of climate change involves reducing global greenhouse gas emissions. Achieving this outcome will also

require cooperation and action by many agencies of government.” —from the report’s recommendations

## The Moral Responsibility

Several religious traditions have spoken about moral responsibility for addressing the threat of climate change:

■ “As a matter of stewardship and justice, Christians must take action now to reduce global warming pollution and stand in solidarity with our brothers and sisters around the world whose land, livelihood and lives are threatened by the global climate crisis.” —General Board of Church and Society of the United Methodist Church

■ “At its core, global climate change is not about economic theory or political platforms, nor about partisan advantage or interest group pressures. It is about the future of God’s creation and the one human family. It is about protecting both ‘the human environment’ and the natural environment. It is about our human stewardship of God’s creation and our responsibility to those who come after us.” —U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops

■ “The same love for God and neighbor that compels us to preach salvation through Jesus Christ, protect the unborn, preserve the family and the sanctity of marriage, and take the whole Gospel to a hurting world, also compels us to recognize that human-induced climate change is a serious Christian issue requiring action now.” —Evangelical Climate Initiative

■ “For Jews, the environmental crisis is a religious challenge. As heirs to a tradition of stewardship that goes back to Genesis and that teaches us to be partners in the ongoing work of Creation, we cannot accept the escalating destruction of our environment and its effect on human health and livelihood. Where we are despoiling our air, land and water, it is our sacred duty as Jews to acknowledge our God-given responsibility and take action to alleviate environmental degradation and the pain and suffering that it causes. We must reaffirm and bequeath the tradition we have inherited which calls upon us to safeguard humanity’s home.” —Coalition on the Environment and Jewish Life

---

**A** hundred years after we are gone and forgotten,  
those who never heard of us will be living with  
the results of our actions. —Oliver Wendell Holmes

# Carbon Dioxide Regulation: Not If, But How and When

Part of our work at the Climate & Energy Project includes fostering public dialogue by addressing timely issues. Late last year, Kansas' secretary of Health and Environment denied a permit to expand a coal-fired power plant. It was the first U.S. power plant denial treating carbon dioxide as a pollutant. The power company and legislators are fighting this. CEP Executive Director Nancy Jackson addressed one of their arguments in a January 17 essay for the *Wichita Eagle*.

**I**n Kansas energy debates, we have heard a lot lately about “regulatory uncertainty.” But what does that mean, exactly? Earl Watkins, chief executive officer of Sunflower Electric Power Corp., has asserted that regulatory uncertainty is bad for business (“Regulatory process needs to be certain, impartial,” January 15 Opinion). So has Amy Blankenbiller, CEO of the Kansas Chamber of Commerce. They are right. Businesses do need a set of clear and consistent rules.

Ironically enough, that is precisely why some of the nation's leading corporations—and largest greenhouse gas emitters—are calling for carbon dioxide regulation.

As Duke Energy CEO Jim Rogers said last year, when elected to chair the Edison Electric Institute, “I’ve seen several surveys that say 70 or 80 percent of the executives in our industry think there will be carbon regulation. In a sense, we’re all building our business plans around the carbon scenario. The only issue is what the regulations will look like and when they’ll be implemented.”

Duke and other corporate superstars, including Caterpillar, Deere & Co., Dow Chemical, General Electric and Shell, have formed the U.S. Climate Action Partnership. Together, they are working toward a cap-and-trade system that would, in effect, put a price on carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases.

These Fortune 500 companies believe they can “slow, stop and reverse the growth of U.S. emissions while expanding the U.S. economy.” Presumably, they know a little something about economic success and regulatory certainty.

They also know that if you’re not at the table when the rules get set, you’re on the menu later. That is why they are actively working to shape carbon dioxide regulations. Kansas businesses should do the same.

Kansas Health and Environment Secretary Rod Bremby’s decision in October did not create regulatory uncertainty; it reflected regulatory uncertainty:

- As of July 2007, members of the 110th Congress had introduced more than 125 bills, resolutions and amendments specifically addressing global climate change and greenhouse gas emissions. Decisions made now under the specter of such regulations may be deemed imprudent under law and subject retroactively to penalty.

- In the past 18 months, proposals for 20 coal plants have stalled nationwide because of public concerns about air pollution, increases in greenhouse gases, rapidly climbing economic costs and future liability.

- Regional agreements between governors in the Northeast, West and, most recently, Midwest provide clear targets for emission reductions and allow for a cap-and-trade system that would put a price on carbon emissions.

Neither Bremby nor Gov. Kathleen Sebelius is “out front” on this issue. They are, in fact, arguably behind—22 states already have climate action plans and another 14 are creating plans.

Regulatory certainty is needed, and in the wake of Bremby’s decision, Kansas has a historic opportunity to lead the nation in creating it. Let’s stop considering false choices between economic vitality and climate stability, and start talking instead about how we achieve both.

---

**T**he people that are opposed to the CO<sub>2</sub> never talk about that (life-sustaining) aspect of it. I have yet to have any of them mention anything about CO<sub>2</sub> being necessary for life. All they focus on is there’s too much CO<sub>2</sub>. —Jay Emler, chairman of the Kansas Senate Utilities Committee, in the *Salina Journal*

# Prairie Festival Recordings

September 28-30, 2007, The Land Institute

Quantity	Price	Title	Speakers
_____	\$10	The Tomorrow Show .....	Land Institute research staff
_____	\$15	Energy Conservation and the Climate and Energy Project (2 CD set) .....	Nancy Jackson, Scott Allegrucci, Pete Ferrell, Blair Hamilton
_____	\$10	The Long Emergency .....	James Howard Kunstler
_____	\$10	Planning for Local Foods .....	Kamyar Enshayan
_____	\$10	Food Integrity for the Masses.....	Steve Ells
_____	\$10	Changing Landscapes: Agriculture in the Climate and Energy Project .....	Fred Kirschenmann
_____	\$10	Another Look at Ethanol .....	Bruce Babbitt
_____	\$10	Where are We Now?.....	Wes Jackson
_____	\$70	Complete set	

_____	Subtotal	Name _____
_____	Shipping: Add \$2 for first CD, 50 cents for each additional CD, up to \$18 maximum. Double this for delivery to Canada, triple for Mexico and overseas.	Address _____
_____	Kansas residents add 6.3 percent sales tax	City _____
_____	Total	State _____ ZIP code _____
		Phone _____
		<input type="checkbox"/> MasterCard <input type="checkbox"/> Visa <input type="checkbox"/> Discover
		Card number _____
		Expiration date _____
		Signature _____

Payment methods: We accept checks and money orders for U.S. funds, and MasterCard, Visa and Discovery. Card purchases can be by mail, fax or phone.

**Send orders to** The Land Institute, 2440 E. Water Well Road, Salina, KS 67401. Phone: 785-823-5376. Fax: 785-823-8728

**I**t is most realistic to talk not of immediate solutions, but of comprehending problems well enough that intervention does not make them worse. Each conflict is but the tail end of a long series of prior events and processes. In our historical arrogance, we fail to see the context of today's dilemmas. —Joseph A. Tainter, *On the Edge of Scarcity*



Icon of industrial revolution, the spectacularly inefficient steam locomotive, meets icon of modern renewable energy, the wind turbine, oil-burner for public relations. Minutes later it skirted Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, which harnesses nuclear energy for bo

# Climate Policy: From “Know How” to “Do Now”

Herman E. Daly

The recent increase in attention to global warming is very welcome. Most of the attention seems to be given to complex climate models and their predictions. That too is welcome. However, it is useful to back up a bit and remember an observation by physicist John Wheeler: “We make the world by the questions we ask.” What are the questions asked by the climate models, and what kind of world are they making, and what other questions might we ask that would make other worlds? Could we ask other questions that would make a more tractable world for policy?

The climate models ask, “Will carbon dioxide emissions lead to atmospheric concentrations of 450-500 parts per million, and will that raise temperatures by 2 or 3 degrees Celsius, by a certain date, and what will be the likely physical consequences in climate and geography, and in what sequence, and according to what probability distributions, and what will be the damages inflicted by such changes, as well as the costs of abating them, and what are the ratios of the present values of the damage costs compared with abatement expenditures at various discount rates, and which discount rate should we use, and how likely is it that new information learned while we are constructing the model will invalidate the results?”

What kind of world is created by such questions? Perhaps a world of such enormous uncertainty and complexity as to paralyze policy. Scientists will disagree on the answers to every one of these empirical questions.

Could we ask a different question that creates a different world? Why not ask, “Can we systematically continue to emit increasing amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere without eventually provoking unacceptable climate changes?”

Scientists will overwhelmingly agree that the answer is no. The basic science, first principles, and directions of causality are very clear. Swedish chemist Svante Arrhenius discovered a century ago that CO<sub>2</sub> could act as a greenhouse gas. Focusing on the principles creates a world of relative certainty, at least as to the thrust and direction of policy.

True, the rates, sequences, and valuations are uncertain and subject to debate. But as long as we focus on measuring these inherently uncertain empirical consequences, rather than on the certain first principles that cause them, we will overwhelm the consensus to “do something now” with dithering about what we might someday consider



at Altamont Pass in California. Union Pacific runs this 1943  
mbs. Scott Bontz photo.

doing if ever the evidence is sufficiently compelling. I am afraid that once the evidence is really compelling, then our response will also be compelled, and policy choice will be irrelevant. To make the point more simply, if you jump out of an airplane you need a crude parachute more than an accurate altimeter. And if you also take an altimeter with you, at least don't become so bemused in tracking your descent that you forget to pull the ripcord. We should be thinking in terms of a parachute, however crude.

The next question we should ask is, "What is it that is causing us to systematically emit ever more CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere?" It is the same thing that causes us to emit more and more of all kind of wastes into the biosphere, namely our irrational commitment to exponential growth forever on a finite planet subject to the laws of thermodynamics.

If we overcome the growth idolatry we could then go on to ask an intelligent question like, "How can we design and manage a steady-state economy, one that respects the limits of the biosphere?" Instead we ask a wrongheaded, growth-bound question: "By how much will we have to increase energy efficiency, or carbon efficiency, to maintain customary growth rates in gross domestic product?" Suppose we get as an answer, "We need to double efficiency in 10 years," and we actually achieve this. So what? We will then just do more of all the things that have become more efficient and therefore cheaper, and will then emit more wastes, including greenhouse gases. A policy of "efficiency first" does not give us "frugality second"—it makes frugality less necessary. In the 19th century words of William Stanley Jevons, "It is wholly a confusion of ideas to suppose that the economical use of fuel is equivalent to a diminished consumption. The very contrary is the truth."

And further, "Now, if the quantity of coal used in a blast-furnace, for instance, be diminished in comparison with the yield, the profits of the trade will increase, new capital will be attracted, the price of pig-iron will fall, but the demand for it increase; and eventually the greater number of furnaces will more than make up for the diminished consumption of each."

In modern words, if we increase miles per gallon we are likely to travel more miles because it is cheaper.

Or suppose instead of driving more we save the money. What then do we do with it? Travel by airplane? Buy a second house? Invest in nuclear power or ethanol production? Better to pay it to our psychiatrist for the low-energy service of listening while we confess our sins. Yes, but doesn't that help him pay for his airplane trip or second house? Jevons has us by the tail: "It is wholly a confusion of ideas to suppose that the economical use of fuel is equivalent to a diminished consumption. The very contrary is the truth."

Our energy policy is all about "efficient patterns of consumption" and not at all about "sustainable aggregate levels of consumption." It is wholly a confusion of ideas to suppose that an efficient pattern of energy consumption is

equivalent to, or even leads to, a sustainable aggregate level of energy consumption.

But if we go for "frugality first"—sustainability first—as our direct policy variable, with something like a carbon tax or a cap-auction-trade system, then we will get "efficiency second" as an adaptation to more expensive carbon fuels. "Frugality first gives efficiency second, not vice versa" should be the first design principle for energy and climate policy. Efficiency is an adaptation to scarcity that makes it less painful; it is not the abolition of scarcity, the so-called "win-win" solution beloved by politicians.

The second thing wrong with our misleading question is its assumption that we need to maintain current growth rates in gross domestic product. There is a lot of evidence that GDP growth at the current margin in the United States is in fact *uneconomic* growth—that is, growth that increases social and environmental costs faster than it increases production benefits, growth that accumulates "illth" faster than it accumulates wealth. I know that there is still poverty in the world and that GDP growth in some countries is still economic. That is all the more reason to stop uneconomic growth and free up resources and ecological space for truly economic growth by the poor. That should be the second design principle.

You will not find the term "uneconomic growth" in the index of any economics textbook. My word processing program even underlines it in red warning me that I probably made a syntactical error! But it is not hard to see how the reality of uneconomic growth sneaks up on us. We have moved from a world relatively empty of us and our stuff, to a world relatively full of us, in just one lifetime. The world population has tripled in mine, and the populations of cars, houses, livestock, refrigerators, TVs, etc., have increased by much more. As we transform natural capital into manmade capital, the former becomes more scarce and the latter more abundant—an inversion of the traditional pattern of scarcity. This inversion is furthered by the fact that manmade capital is often private property while natural capital frequently is an open-access commons.

In the empty world economy the limiting factor was manmade capital; in the full world it is remaining natural capital. For example, the annual fish catch used to be limited by the number of fishing boats; now it is limited by the remaining stocks of fish in the ocean and their capacity to reproduce. Barrels of petroleum extracted used to be limited by drilling rigs and pumps; now it is limited by remaining deposits in the ground, or by capacity of the atmosphere to absorb the products of its combustion.

There seems to be a race between peak oil and global warming, between source and sink limits—but both are natural capital, so for my point it does not matter which proves more limiting. Economic logic stays the same—it says invest in and economize on the limiting factor. But the identity of the limiting factor has changed, and we have not adapted. We continue to invest in manmade capital rather

than in restoration of natural capital. This further depletes natural capital and eventually drives down the value of complementary manmade capital, while spewing external costs all over the place.

The reason that mainstream economists do not see this is that they think manmade capital and natural capital are substitutes rather than complements. With substitutes you don't have a limiting factor, so economists can overlook effects on scarcity. I am not sure why they do this, but suspect that they prize substitution's mathematical tractability more than complementarity's conformity to the first law of thermodynamics. Furthermore, conformity to that finitude is ideologically inconvenient, because it slows down growth. Some of you may have a better explanation, but the fact remains that natural resource flows and capital funds are treated as substitutes—when natural resources are included in the production function at all, which usually they are not!

In addition to this monumental error on the production or supply side, we have an equally monumental error on the utility or demand side—the failure to take seriously that beyond a threshold of absolute income already passed in the United States, welfare or self-evaluated happiness becomes a function of relative income rather than absolute income. Since it is impossible to increase everyone's relative income, further absolute growth in GDP becomes a self-canceling arms race.

Enough of what is wrong. Can one offer a reasonable policy based only on first principles? Yes—one such policy is called ecological tax reform, a stiff severance tax on carbon, levied at the well head and mine mouth, accompanied by equalizing tariffs on carbon-intensive imports, and by rebating the revenue by abolishing regressive taxes on low incomes. Such a policy would reduce total carbon use, give an incentive for developing less carbon-intensive technologies, and redistribute income progressively. Yes, but how do we know what is the optimal tax rate, and wouldn't it be regressive, and is there really a “double dividend,” as some have claimed, etc.? Once again we make the world by the questions we ask. We need to raise public revenue somehow, so *why not tax carbon extraction heavily and*

*compensate by taxing income lightly, especially low incomes?* More generally, tax the resource throughput—that to which value is added—and stop taxing value added. Whether you tax the throughput at the input or output end is a matter of convenience, although I generally prefer the input end because depletion is spatially more concentrated than pollution. Also, higher input prices induce efficiency at all subsequent stages of the production process, and limiting depletion ultimately limits pollution, at least in a gross aggregate sense.

Tax bads—depletion and pollution—not goods—income. Does anyone imagine that we currently tax income at the optimal rate? Better first to tax the right thing and later worry about the “optimal” rate of taxation, etc. People don't like to see the value added by their own efforts taxed away, though we accept it as necessary up to a point. But most people don't mind seeing resource scarcity rents, value that no one added, taxed away. And the most important public good served by the carbon tax would be climate stability, a benefit in which everyone shares, but whose loss would be regressively distributed. The revenue from the carbon severance tax could be rebated to the public by abolishing other taxes, especially regressive ones. And even though the incidence of the tax by itself is regressive to income, it has the advantage that it is paid by all consumers, including the income tax evaders and avoiders.

Setting policy in accord with first principles allows us to act now without getting mired in endless delays caused by the uncertainties of complex empirical measurements and predictions. Of course the uncertainties do not disappear. We will experience them as surprising consequences, both agreeable and disagreeable, requiring midcourse correction. Recognizing the need for midcourse corrections should be a third policy design principle. But at least we would have begun a process of moving in the right direction. To continue business as usual while debating the predictions of complex models in a world made even more uncertain by the questions we ask is to fail to pull the ripcord. The empirical consequences of this last failure, unfortunately, are all too certain.

---

**T**he attempt to blame the other man for finity will be one of the defining themes of the politics of the next few decades.

—George Monbiot in Britain's *The Guardian*.



*Summer Shadows*, by Samuel Chamberlain. Etching, 11 by 8½ inches. Courtesy of The Birger Sandzen Memorial Gallery, Lindsborg,

# Optimism and Hope in a Hotter Time

David W. Orr

**W**e like optimistic people. They are fun, often funny, and very often capable of doing amazing things otherwise thought to be impossible. Were I stranded on a life raft in the middle of the ocean and could have either an optimist or a pessimist as companion, I'd want an optimist, providing he did not have a liking for human flesh.

Optimism, however, is often rather like a Yankee fan believing that the team can win the game when it's the bottom of the ninth, they're up by a run, with two outs, a two-strike count against a .200 hitter, and Mariano Rivera in his prime is on the mound. The optimist is optimistic for good reason. Red Sox fans, on the other hand, believe in salvation by small percentages and hope for a hit to get the runner home from second and tie the game. Optimism is recognition that the odds are in your favor; hope is faith that things will work out whatever the odds. Hope is a verb with its sleeves rolled up. Hopeful people actively defy or change the odds. Optimism leans back, puts its feet up and wears a confident look, knowing that the deck is stacked.

I know of no good reason for anyone to be optimistic about the human future, but I know lots of reasons to be hopeful. How can one be optimistic, for example, about global warming?

First, it isn't a "warming," but rather a total destabilization of the planet brought on by the behavior of one species: us. Whoever called this "warming" must have worked for the advertising industry or the Siberian Bureau of Economic Development.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change—the thousand-plus scientists who study climate and whose livelihoods depend on authenticity, facts, logic and repeatability of experiments—put it differently: A hotter world means rising odds of

- More heat waves and droughts.
- More and larger storms.
- Forest dieback.
- Changing ecosystems.
- More tropical diseases in formerly temperate areas.
- Sea levels rising much faster than once expected.
- Losing many things nature once did for us.
- Lots of things becoming rare, such as Vermont maple syrup.
- More and nastier bugs.
- Food shortages due to drought, heat and more and nastier bugs.
- More death from climate-driven weather.



Kansas.

■ Refugees fleeing floods, rising seas, drought and expanding deserts.

■ International conflicts over energy, food and water.

■ And, eventually, runaway climate change to some new stable state most likely without humans.

Some of these changes are inevitable, given the volume of carbon dioxide and other heat-trapping gases we've already put into the atmosphere. There is a lag of several decades between the emission of greenhouse gases and the weather headlines, and still another lag until we experience their full economic and political effects. The sum total of the opinions of climate experts goes like this:

■ We've already warmed the planet by 1.4 degrees Fahrenheit.

■ We are committed to another degree of warming.

■ It's too late to avoid trauma.

■ But it might not be too late to avoid global catastrophe including runaway climate change.

■ There are no magic bullets.

■ It is truly a global emergency.

The fourth item above is anyone's guess, since the level of heat-trapping gases is higher than it has been in the past 650,000 years and quite likely for a great deal longer. We are playing a global version of Russian roulette, and no one knows for certain what the safe thresholds of various heat-trapping gases might be.

Scientific certainty about the pace of climate change over the past three decades has a brief shelf life, but the pattern is clear. As scientists learn more, it's mostly worse than they previously thought. Ocean acidification went from being a problem a century or two hence to being a crisis in a matter of decades. Melting of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets went from being possible hundreds of years hence to a matter of decades in one case and a century or two in the other. The threshold of perceived safety went down from perhaps 560 parts per million carbon dioxide in the atmosphere to perhaps 450 ppm. And so forth.

Optimism in these circumstances is like whistling as one walks past the graveyard at midnight. There is no good case to be made for it, but the sound of whistling sure beats the sound of the rustling in the bushes beside the fence. It doesn't change the probabilities one iota, nor does it much influence lurking goblins. Nonetheless, we like optimism and optimistic people. They soothe, reassure and sometimes motivate us to accomplish a great deal more than we otherwise might. But sometimes optimism misleads, and on occasion badly so. This is where hope enters.

Hope requires us to check our optimism at the door and enter the future without illusions. It requires a level of honesty, self-awareness and sobriety that is difficult to summon and sustain. I know a great many smart people and many very good people, but I know few people who can handle hard truth gracefully without despairing. We seize on anything that distracts us from the unpleasant. It's rather like in *A Few Good Men* when beleaguered Marine Corps

officer Jack Nicholson tells defense attorney Tom Cruise: "You can't handle the truth!" T. S. Eliot less dramatically noted the same tendency in *Four Quartets: Burnt Norton*: "Human kind cannot bear very much reality."

Authentic hope, in other words, is made of sterner stuff than optimism. It must be rooted in the truth as best we can see it, knowing that our vision is always partial. Hope requires the courage to reach farther, dig deeper, confront our limits and those of nature, work harder *and* dream dreams. Optimism doesn't require much effort, since you're likely to win anyway. Hope must hustle, scheme, make deals and strategize.

How do we find authentic hope in the face of climate change, the biological holocaust now under way, the spread of global poverty, seemingly unsolvable human conflicts, terrorism and the void of adequate world leadership?

I've been thinking about the difference between optimism and hope since being admonished recently to give a "positive" talk at a gathering of ranchers, natural resource professionals and students. Presumably the audience was incapable of coping with the bad news expected from me. I gave the talk that I intended, a mixture of good and bad news. The audience survived, but the experience caused me to think more about what we say and what we can say to good effect about the kind of news that readers of this journal reckon with daily.

The view that the public can only handle happy news rests on a chain of reasoning that goes like this:

■ We face problems that are solvable, not dilemmas that can be avoided with foresight but are not solvable, and certainly not losses that are permanent.

■ People can't handle much truth.

■ So resolution of different values, and significant improvement of human behavior otherwise necessary, are impossible.

■ Greed and self-interest are in the driver's seat and always will be.

■ So the consumer economy is here to stay.

■ But consumers sometimes want greener gadgets.

■ Capitalism can supply these at a goodly profit and itself be greened a bit, but not improved otherwise.

■ So matters of distribution, poverty and political power are nonstarters.

■ Therefore, the focus should be on problems solvable at a profit by technology and policy changes.

■ Significant improvement of politics, policy and governance are unlikely and probably irrelevant, because better design and market adjustments can substitute for governmental regulation and thereby eliminate most of the sources of political controversy—rather like Karl Marx's prediction of the withering away of the state.

Disguised as optimism, this approach is, in fact, pessimistic and condescending about our capacity to face the truth and act creatively, courageously and even nobly in dire circumstances. So we do not talk about limits to

growth, unsolvable problems, moral failings, unequal distribution of wealth within and between generations, emerging dangers, impossibilities, technology gone awry or necessary sacrifices. “Realism” requires us to portray climate change as an opportunity to make a great deal of money, which it may be for some, but without saying that it might not be for most, or mentioning its connections to other problems, or the possibility that the Four Horsemen are gaining on us. We are not supposed to talk about coming changes in our “lifestyles,” a telling and empty word implying fashion, not necessity or conviction.

Instead, solving climate change is reduced to a series of wedges supposed to eliminate so many gigatons of carbon without any serious changes in how we live. There is no wedge called “suck it up,” because that is considered too much to ask of people who have been consuming way too much, too carelessly, for too long. The “American way of life” is thought to be sacrosanct. In the face of a global emergency, brought on in no small part by the profligate American way of life, few are willing to say otherwise.

So we are told to buy hybrid cars, but not asked to walk, travel by bikes or go less often, even at the end of the era of cheap oil. We are asked to buy compact fluorescent light bulbs, but not to turn off our electronic stuff or not buy it in the first place. We are admonished to buy green, but seldom asked to buy less, repair what we already have or just make do. We are encouraged to build green buildings that are used for maybe 10 hours a day for five days a week, but we are not told that we cannot build our way out of the mess we’ve made, or to repair existing buildings. We are not told that the consumer way of life will have to be rethought and redesigned to exist within the limits of natural systems and better fitted to our human limitations. And so, as Peter Montague once put it, we continue to walk north on a southbound train.

And maybe, told that its hindquarters are caught in a ringer, the public would panic, or would despair from doing what could save us from the worst outcomes possible. This is an old view of human nature epitomized in the work of Edward Bernays, a nephew of Sigmund Freud and founder of modern public relations. Public order, he thought, had to be engineered by manipulating people to be dependent and dependable consumers. People who think too much or know too much were in his view a hazard to social stability.

Maybe this is true and maybe gradualism is the right strategy. Perhaps the crises of climate, equity, security and economic sustainability will yield to the cumulative effects of many small changes without any sacrifice at all. Maybe changes now under way are enough to save us. Maybe small changes will increase the willingness to make larger changes in the future. And state-level initiatives in California, Florida and the Northeast are changing the politics of climate. Wind and solar energy are growing more than 40 percent per year, taking us toward a different regime. Maybe a carbon cap and trade bill will be enough. Maybe we

can win the game of climate roulette at a profit and never have to confront the nastier realities of global capitalism and inequity, or confront the ecological and human violence that we’ve unleashed in the world.

But I wouldn’t bet the earth on it.

For one, the big numbers give us no margin for safety and none for delay in reducing carbon dioxide levels before we risk triggering runaway change. “Climate,” as Wallace Broecker once put it, “is an angry beast, and we are poking it with sticks.” So call it prudence, precaution, insurance, common sense or what you will, but this should be regarded as an emergency like no other. Having spent any margin of error we might have had 30 years ago, we now have to respond fast and effectively or else.

That’s what the drab language of the fourth report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change is saying. What is being proposed, I think, is still too little, too late—necessary but not nearly sufficient. And it is being sold as “realism” by people who have convinced themselves that to be credible they must understate the problem.

Second, climate roulette is part of a larger equation of violence, inequity and imperialism, of exploitation of nature and people, even across generations. In other words, heat-trapping gases in the atmosphere are a symptom of something a lot bigger. To deal with the causes of climate change we must look deep for what took us to the brink of destroying the human prospect and much of the planet. It did not happen accidentally but is, rather, the logical working out of long-standing assumptions, philosophy, worldview and unfair power relations.

The wars, gulags, ethnic cleansings, militarism and destruction of forests, wildlife and oceans throughout the 20th century were earlier symptoms of the problem. We’ve been playing fast and loose with life for some time, and must discuss the changes needed to conduct public business fairly and decently over the long haul.

What do I propose? Simply this: that those of us concerned about climate change, environmental quality and equity treat the public as intelligent adults who can understand the truth and act creatively and courageously in the face of necessity. Act like a doctor talking to a patient with a potentially terminal disease.

There are many good precedents for telling the truth. Abraham Lincoln did not pander, condescend, evade or reduce moral and political issues to economics, jobs and happy talk. Rather, he described slavery as a moral disaster for slaves and slave owners alike. Winston Churchill in the dark days of the London blitzkrieg in 1940 did not talk about defeating Nazism at a profit and the joys of urban renewal. Instead he offered the British people only “blood, toil, tears and sweat.”

And they responded with heart, courage, stamina and sacrifice. At the individual level, faced with a life-threatening illness, people more often than not respond heroically. Every day, soldiers, parents, citizens and strangers do brave

and improbable things in the full knowledge of the price they will pay.

Telling truth means that the people must be summoned to a level of extraordinary greatness appropriate to an extraordinarily dangerous time. People, otherwise occupied with trivial celebrity foibles, must be asked to again be citizens, to know more, think more, take responsibility, participate publicly, and, yea, suck it up. They will have to see the connections between what they drive and the wars we fight, the stuff they buy and crazy weather, the politicians they elect and the spread of poverty and violence. They must be taught to see connections between climate, environmental quality, security, energy use, equity and prosperity. As quaint and naive as all this might sound, people have done it before, and it has worked.

Telling the truth means that we will have to speak clearly about what led us to the brink of disaster. If we fail to deal with causes, no Band-Aid will save us for long. The problems can in one way or another be traced to the irresponsible exercise of power that has excluded the rights of the poor, the disenfranchised, and every generation after our own. This is in no small way because of political money aiding and abetting theft of the public commons, including the airwaves, where deliberate misinformation is a growing industry. Freedom of speech, as Lincoln said in 1860, does not include “the right to mislead others, who have less access to history and less leisure to study it.” But the rights of capital over the media now trump honesty and fair public dialogue, and will continue to do so until the public reasserts its legitimate control.

Telling the truth means summoning people to a higher vision than that of the affluent consumer society. Consider the well studied but little noted gap between the stagnant or falling trend line of happiness in the last half-century and that of rising gross domestic product. That gap ought to have reinforced the ancient message that, beyond some point, more is not better. If we fail to see a vision of a livable, decent future beyond the consumer society, we will never summon the courage, imagination or wit to get there.

So, what does a carbon-neutral, increasingly sustainable society look like? My picture is communities with these things:

- Front porches.
- Public parks.
- Locally owned businesses.

- Windmills and solar collectors.
- Locally owned farms and better food.
- Bike trails.
- Summer baseball leagues.
- Community theaters.
- Better poetry.
- Neighborhood book clubs.
- Bowling leagues.
- Better schools.
- Vibrant and robust downtowns with sidewalk cafes, great pubs serving microbrews, and more kids playing outdoors.
- Fewer freeways, shopping malls, sprawl, television.
- No more wars for oil or anything else.

Nirvana? No! Humans have a remarkable capacity to screw up good things, but we can still create a future a great deal better than what is now in prospect. And what we must do to avert the worst effects of climate change are mostly the same things we would do to build sustainable communities and economies, and to improve environmental quality and prospects for our children.

Finally, I am an educator and earn my keep by perpetuating the quaint belief that if people only knew more, they would act better. Some of what they need to know is new, but most of it is old, very old. On my list of things people ought to know:

- The laws of thermodynamics, which tell us that economic growth only increases the pace of disorder, the transition from low entropy to high entropy.
- The basic sciences of biology and ecology—how the world works as a physical system.
- The fundamentals of carrying capacity, which apply to yeast cells in a wine vat, lemmings and humans alike.

But they ought to know, too, about human fallibility, gullibility and the inescapable problem of ignorance. So I propose that schools, colleges and universities require their students to read Marlowe’s *Dr. Faustus*, Mary Shelley’s *Frankenstein* and Melville’s *Moby Dick*. I would hope that they would learn to distinguish things that we can do from those that we should not.

Hope, authentic hope, can be found only in our capacity to discern the truth about our situation and ourselves and summon the fortitude to act accordingly. In time the truth will set us free from illusion, greed, ill will and self-imposed destruction.

---

I can think of 40 reasons why none of their projects can possibly succeed and 40 different tones of wry cynicism in which to express my well-documented doubts. But I also know it is more humanly beautiful to risk failure seeking for the hidden springs than to resign to the futurelessness of the wasteland. —Theodore Roszak, *Where the Wasteland Ends*

# Variation on the Theme: An Invited Response

Conn Nugent

I was intrigued and absorbed by David Orr's examination of optimism versus hope. He skillfully draws us into an enjoyable opportunity to argue about the shifting meanings of American English. Orr favors hope. "Hope is a verb with its sleeves rolled up," Orr writes. I suppose so. But hope is also a verb in pajamas, gazing longingly out the window for somebody to come over and rescue it. Hopeful lives near wistful. Personally, I like being optimistic. Optimism—which Orr describes as "the recognition that the odds are in your favor"—also connotes a certain plucky chin-up-ness that defies those odds. "Optimism is an historical duty," Bernard Lown once said.

Whatever. Really, it's just potaytoe versus potatoe, another occasion for fun with our hypermutable vernacular. The really tough challenge Orr poses is found a little deeper into his piece: how to talk to our nonspecialist fellow citizens. Orr was prompted to think about appropriate language after "being admonished recently to give a 'positive' talk." Who among public speakers has not been urged to avoid gloom? Who doesn't try to strike a balance between the dismal facts of global change and a desire to engage the audience? As Orr wisely observes, it is hard—and morally dubious—to elaborate on the "total destabilization of the planet" and then pitch 10 Easy Things You Can Do at Home. "Telling truth means that the people must be summoned to a level of extraordinary greatness appropriate to an extraordinarily dangerous time," Orr writes. "They will have to see the connections between what they drive and the wars we fight, the stuff they buy and crazy weather, the politicians they elect and the spread of poverty and violence." He concludes: "... Authentic hope can be found only in our capacity to discern the truth about our situation and ourselves and summon the fortitude to act accordingly. In time the truth will set us free from illusion, greed, and ill-will and self-imposed destruction."

I would be happy if the laws of this republic were written by David Orr and people who see the world as he sees it. I admire their values, and could depend on their characters. Their public policies would be generous and farsighted.

But I am wary of "the truth" in general. And I do not believe that an appreciation of a particular set of facts about

the relationships between consumer behavior and climate change ratifies the more astonishing assertion that burning oil and coal implies more "violence, inequity and imperialism" than relying on human and animal muscle power. That's not what I read in human history. I would say that the Petroleum Age has just made the scale of everything bigger: more poverty but more wealth, more disease for some and greater longevity and less suffering for others, more brutality and more erudition, greater eruptions of violence and more regimes of peace and security. It is difficult—to say the least—to persuade the billion bourgeois grandchildren of landless peasants that their family history is embedded in the darker narrative of "the ecological and human violence that we've unleashed in the world." Sure, maybe so, but it's been enjoyable. And way more comfortable.

In a way, I am more simpleminded about our human dilemma. I believe that the ecological and social damage wrought by the emission of greenhouse gases will be contained and mitigated when the prices of carbon fuels are considerably more expensive—say four times more expensive—than they are today. Many argue that such a rise is already well under way, and that a fourfold increase in the price of a barrel of oil, adjusted for inflation, will be reached within two decades. I believe that projection grievously underestimates:

- Russian oil reserves and Russian national ambitions.
- The ingenuity of petroleum geologists and engineers.
- The stimulating effect of higher prices on exploration and development.

I think humans will burn petroleum at high levels for many years to come, and that the conservation of oil in Country X will allow Country Y to burn more, thanks to an already-integrated global market. And don't forget those centuries' worth of coal seams and tar sands.

I am for speaking truth to power. Moral suasion can work. I want David Orr on the hustings, ceaselessly. But nothing matters nearly so much as prices and costs. Those of us whose lives have profited from the Age of Petroleum can best serve our descendants and their planet by speeding the rate of carbon price increases through changes in fiscal public policy. Carbon tax, anyone?

---

Human history becomes more and more a race between education and catastrophe. —H. G. Wells, *The Outline of History*

# Thanks to Our Contributors October 2007 Through January 2008

Thousands of tax-deductible gifts, from a few to thousands of dollars, are received each year from individuals and organizations to make our work possible. Our other source of revenue is earned income from interest and event fees, recently about 4 percent of total. Large and small gifts in aggregate make a difference. They also represent a constituency and help spread ideas as we work together toward greater ecological sustainability. Thank you, our perennial friends.

## Pledges

These contributors pledge periodic gifts. Most arrange deductions monthly from their bank accounts or credit cards. They increase our financial stability, a trait valuable to any organization.

**A**  
Clifford P. and Rebecca K. R. Ambers  
Angela A. Anderson  
Christopher E. Anderson and Suzan Fitzsimmons  
William M. and Dorothy Anderson  
Alan G. Arnold  
Jennifer R. Atlee  
Patricia A. and Tim C. Ault-Duell  
**B**  
Susan M. Baker  
William C. and Terry B. Baldwin  
William Beard II  
Cheri Black  
Charles R. and Dianne E. Boardman  
Dr. Patrick J. Bohlen and Julie Mitchell  
Joy Boileau  
Raymond H. and Shirley Brand  
Sheryl D. Breen  
D. Gordon Brown and Charlene K. Irvin-Brown  
Professor E. Charles Brummer  
**C**  
Janeine Cardin and David Ritter  
Jim and Carressa Carlstedt  
Meri P. Carlstedt  
James P. and Marianne G. Cassidy  
Suzanne Casson  
Lorna W. and D. Douglas Caulkins  
Cedar Valley Honey Farms  
Bruce Colman  
Kenneth L. Cramer  
Edith A. Cresmer  
Timothy and Sarah Crews  
**D**  
Shawn Dehner and Jamie Purnell-Dehner  
B. Marion and Joan Den Hartog  
Al DeSena, Ph.D., and Mary H. DeSena  
Dennis R. Dimick  
Fred and Arlene Dolgon  
Barbara T. Dregallo  
Nathanael P. and Marnie Dresser  
Blythe Dyson and Hannah F. Arps  
**E**  
Jean A. Emmons  
James P. Erickson  
Arlen and Lana S. Etling  
Claryce Lee Evans  
Terry and Sam Evans

**F**  
Eric Farnsworth  
Douglass T. Fell  
Rebecca V. Ferrell and Michael J. Golec  
Andy and Betsy Finfrock  
Don M. and Mary Anne Flournoy  
Dana K. Foster  
John K. Franson  
**G**  
Jared N. and Cindi M. Gellert  
Nils R. Gore and Shannon R. Criss  
Laura Lee Grace  
Elizabeth Granberg  
Daniel G. and Norma A. Green  
Timothy and Lindsey Gustafson  
**H**  
Patricia C. Harryman-Buschbom  
David Haskell and Sarah Vance  
Bjorn and Leanne Helgaas  
James F. Henson  
Bette J. Hileman  
David J. and Yvonne M. Hileman  
Frederick T. Hill III  
Thor E. Hinckley and Alison Wiley  
David L. Hodges and Joan May  
John J. and Gloria J. Hood  
Shae S. Hoschek  
Mark L. and Linda K. Howard  
John W. Howell  
Gary R. and Michele Howland  
Andrew Hyde Hryniewicz  
Liz Huffman  
Jon C. and Audrey F. Hunstock  
Lee Hunter and Arvid Smith  
**J**  
Nancy A. Jackson  
Wes and Joan Jackson  
Dorcie McKniff Jasperse  
Karen Jenné  
Chet A. and Ruth E. Johnson  
Max D. and Helen F. Johnston  
Jimmy R. Jones  
Todd Juengling  
**K**  
Jeanne Kee  
Robert G. and Judith Kelly  
Bruce Kendall  
Lincoln Kern  
Elizabeth King  
Leslie Kitchens  
Raymond C. and Marianne D. Kluever  
Walter J. and Barbara J. Koop  
Mark M. and Jean Bowers  
Kozubowski  
Mildred McClellan Krebs  
Keith W. Krieger  
**L**  
Ann Wegner LeFort  
David R. Leitch  
Janice E. Lilly and Cary A. Buzzelli  
Robert M. and Joyce M. Lindholm  
Jonne A. Long

Kenneth C. and Sherri A. Louis  
**M**  
Michelle C. Mack and Edward Ted Schuur  
Gordon M. and Margaret Mallett  
Grant W. Mallett and Nancy Tilson-Mallett  
Rosette and Michael Malone  
Andrew F. Marks and Tamara Zagorec-Marks  
Hugh and Joanne Marsh  
David E. Martin  
Helen O. Martin  
Peter Mason and Paula Wenzl  
Thomas R. and Nina L. Mastick  
William A. and Julia Fabris McBride  
R. Michael and Debra L. Medley  
Tom Melia  
Sara Michl  
Howard Walter Mielke  
Bart P. Miller and Lisa Seaman  
Robin E. Mittenthal  
Suzanne Meyer Mittenthal  
Bonny A. Moellenbrock and Michael I. Lowry  
James L. M. Morgan and Teresa A. Maurer  
John H. Morrill  
Philip C. and Lona Morse  
Margaret C. Moulton  
**N**  
Charles Nabors  
Karen Owsley Nease  
William D. and Dorothy M. Nelligan  
Stanley R. and Ann L. Nelson  
Richard B. and Elizabeth B. Norton  
**O**  
The Osborne & Scekic Family Foundation  
Richard and Christine Ouren  
**P**  
Harold D. and Dorothy M. Parman  
Steven and Carolyn Paulding  
C. Diane Percival  
Joan Peterkin  
Allen and Charlotte Pinkall  
Robert L. and Karen N. Pinkall  
John B. and Lee B. Pitman  
Paul D. Post and Kay Kelly  
**Q**  
Jerry L. Quance and Marcia A. Hall  
**R**  
Charles P. and Marcia Lautanen  
Raleigh  
Thomas L. Rauch and Joyce Borgerding  
Andrew H. Rhodes  
David C. and Jane S. Richardson  
James H. Rose  
Wolfgang D. Rougle  
Brandon Rutter  
**S**  
David Sanders  
Donald E. Sanderson

Claire Lynn Schosser  
Kash and Anna Schriever  
Clair Schultis  
Peter C. and Helen A. Schulze  
Tracy Seeley  
Suzanne Jean Shafer  
Frank Shaw and Alison Mary Kay  
William R. and Cynthia D. Sheldon  
Grover B. and Mary E. Simpson  
M. Susan Sievers  
Clarence Skrovan  
Harold V. and Frances Smith  
James R. and Katherine V. Smith  
Lea Smith  
Andrew Stepnick  
George C. and M. Rosannah Stone  
Bianca Storlazzi  
Gail E. Stratton  
Brad R. Stuewe, M.D., and Paula A. Fried, Ph.D.  
Persis B. Suddeth  
Gerald R. and Sandra P. Swafford  
Toby Symington  
**T**  
Jonathan Teller-Elsberg  
Gene Steven and Patricia A. Thomas  
David P. Thompson and Meg Eastman  
Ruth Anna Thurston  
David Toner  
Deborah and Lyle Turner  
**U**  
Virginia L. Usher  
**V**  
Valerie M. and Roger R. Vetter  
**W**  
John and Bette Sue Wachholz  
Kenneth G. and Dorothy L. Weaver  
Robert B. and Judith S. Weeden  
Jo M. and Stephen R. Whited  
David N. and Barbara A. Wilson  
Keith V. and Kathleen M. Wold  
William I. and Sandra L. Woods  
E. Parker Worley  
David Bradley and Margarette V. Wristen  
Donna L. Wygle  
**Y**  
Debra Brown Young  
John and Jane Young  
**Z**  
David H. Zimmermann and Emily Marriott

## Gifts

These friends made a donation during this period.

**A**  
Constance M. Achterberg  
Acme Construction  
Marilyn S. Adam and Ralph Tauke

Robert V. Adams  
D. Wallace and Gena D. Adams-Riley  
Arlllys G. and Lorado S. Adelman  
Steven A. Aftergood  
Marian Aikman  
Dean B. and Renae J. Alison  
Carol Lee Allen  
Deidre G. and Cameron R. Allen  
Gregory S. and Jill Allen  
James R. Allen and Martha Rhea  
James W. Allen and Judy Cumbee  
William W. and Joyce H. Allen  
The Amity Fund  
Milton L. Andersen  
A. Bernard Anderson  
Ellen Moore Anderson  
Kathleen S. Anderson  
Ray C. Anderson Foundation Inc.  
William Mark and Denise W.  
Andrews  
Professor Richard E. Andrus  
Edwin L. and Marilyn A. Armstrong  
John M. Armstrong  
Thomas J. Arneson  
James C. and Mary Ann Arnett  
Margaret J. and Stephen I. Arnold  
Arrow Printing Co.  
Basil H. and Phyllis A. Ash  
Robert W. and Jacqueline Ash  
Russell E. and Carolee Atha  
James and Deborah Athearn  
Mary W. Athens  
Paul Atkinson  
Denise Attwood and James R. Conner  
Wayne L. and Joyce Attwood

**B**  
Juanita R. Bachmann  
Sydney W. and Raymond B.  
Backstrom  
Richard H. and Denise Backus  
Bruce F. Bacon  
Catherine E. Badgley and Gerald R.  
Smith  
Mr. Amos G. Baehr  
Walter T. and Virginia A. Bagley  
Fred Bahnson  
Ellen D. and W. Ray Bailey  
Victor and Kathryn Bailey  
Julene Bair and James Jones  
Gail Baker and Paul F. Schmidt  
Scott A. Bakke  
Marian J. Bakken  
Matthew G. and Erica M. Bakker  
John P. and Agnes M. Baldetti  
Lawrence C. and Mary J. Baldwin  
William H. and Caroline H. Baldwin  
B. Eileen Ball  
William E. and Sue Ellen Ballard  
Emma Balsiger Foundation Inc.  
Myles R. L. Bantle  
Andrew Snowden Barker and Ana  
Ruesink  
Kenneth W. and Iralee Barnard  
Marilyn Barnes  
Mark A. Barnett and Katherine A.  
Gergen-Barnett  
Bradley H. and Mary K. Barrett  
Robert C. Barrett and Linda E.  
Atkinson  
Steven Barry  
Jerry M. and Carol Baskin  
Martin A. Bates and Janie M. Stein  
Connie Battaile  
John A. and Letitia B. Bayer  
Diane and William M. Beachly

David M. Beck and E. Lynette Joe-  
Beck  
Thomas A. and Kimeri Swanson Beck  
C. Dustin Becker  
Janice K. Becker and David A. Shaw  
Frances L. Beckman  
Robert E. Beers and Danicia A.  
Ambron  
George R. Beggs  
Leroy W. and Marla M. Beikman  
Jim Bell  
Bennington State Bank  
Charles Benscheidt  
Mayrene E. Bentley  
Kirk and Debra L. Benton  
Nicholas A. and Lori Berezovsky  
Beth Berg  
Edward E. Berg  
The Rev. Garner J. and Harriet F.  
Berg  
Don and Helen Berheim  
Mark A. and Jane Berkley  
Alan R. and Miriam Straus Berkowitz  
Elizabeth Bernstein and John  
MacKinnon  
Wendell and Tanya Berry  
John K. Bevan  
Nancy Lea Bevin  
David F. and P. F. Bezdicek  
Michael E. and Letha D. Bialas  
Jeffrey H. and Martha A. Bieber  
Patricia Biggerstaff  
James and Peg Billick  
Jim and Linda L. Bingen  
George W. and Marie Anne Bird  
Paul G. Birdsall  
Dhyana Bisberg  
Franklin Gene and Emma Evelyn  
Bissell  
Richard G. Bjorklund  
Alan Black  
Keith E. and Mary E. Blackmore  
Aaron E. and June E. Blair  
Steven N. and Jane P. Blair  
Margaret M. Blake-Reaume  
William R. and Dianne Blankenship  
Henry D. and Mary G. Blocher  
DeVere E. Blomberg  
Dorothy H. Bloom  
John D. Blythe  
Robert M. and Margaret E. Boatz  
Steve and Tonni Bodine  
Robert J. Bogan  
Mark W., Ellen, Lily and Nick Bohlke  
Adele Bonney  
Joanna Hirschi Bonnheim  
Kayje Booker  
Terry and Patricia B. Booth  
Richard D. Borevitz  
Bjorn and Katherine S. Borgen  
Gregory A. Boris and Joan L. Reddy  
Patrick D. and Ann Bosold  
Bill and Ruth Botzow  
Andrew P. and Leonor Bowman  
James K. Boyce and Elizabeth  
Hartman  
Dr. Roger L. and Jan L. Boyd  
Lloyd D. Brace Jr.  
Charles and Ann G. Bradford  
Marjorie E. Bradrick  
Elizabeth B. Bramhall  
Mary Alice and John E. Bramming  
Anthony Jay Bredberg  
Russell and Patricia Brehm  
Jay K. and Sara Bremyer

John A. Brennan, M.D., and Regina  
Voss Brennan  
Susan and Martin Brenner  
Robert T. and Carolyn Breyfogle  
Michael E. and Grace I. Brincefield  
Ronald L. and Judith A. Britt  
David A. and Carolyn S. Brittenham  
H. Keith H. Brodie, M.D., and  
Brenda Brodie  
Jack Brondum and Patricia McGowan  
Susan Brook  
Brown & Vogel Chartered  
Brown Brothers Farming  
Cheryl L. Brown  
Hugh M. and Dorothy M. Brown  
Jerome R. Brown  
Owen S. Brown  
Thomas W. and Ruth L. Brown  
Robert S. Brown, M.D.  
Edwin A. and Laura M. Brownell  
Retta A. Bruegger  
Kenneth D. Bruene  
Harlan B. Brumsted  
Mr. and Mrs. Dean Bruns  
Jeff Brunskill  
Gregory W. and Susan D. Bryant  
Paul T. and Genevieve D. Bryant  
Dr. Paul C. and Joni C. Bube  
John H. Buchanan  
Bernard Buchholz  
Dr. Caryl E. and Cynthia G.  
Buchwald  
Betty Jo Buckingham  
Professor Matthew J. Buechner  
Stuart Bullington  
Everett L. and Dorothy A. Bullock  
Molly Bunch  
Tony Burgess  
Don Burgett  
Gordon M. Burghardt  
Erik P. and Jessyca C. Burke  
Paul F. Burmeister  
Laura Burnett and Martin Poirier  
Sheldon E. Burr  
David Burreis and Meredith McGrath,  
D.V.M.  
Jerry D. Busch  
Peter J. and Toshiko Busch  
J. Walker and Patricia L. Butin  
Sam Butler  
William W. and Eleanor A. Butler  
Wayne and Anne Byrd  
Nancy Byrne  
Patrick F. Byrne and Linda R. Brown-  
Byrne  
Jimmy Byun

**C**  
Carl A. Callenbach  
Dr. J. Baird Callicott  
Doug and Janine Calsbeek  
Adrian Camacho  
Andrew W. and Lisa M. Cameron  
Matthias C. and Barbara H. Campbell  
Susan R. and Jose M. Campos  
Campton Historic Agricultural Lands  
Inc.  
Roald Cann  
Louis J. and Harriet G. Caplan  
Robert B. Carl  
Lee and Carolyn Carlson  
Suzanne and Stephen C. Carlson  
John E. and Diana C. Carroll  
Dale M. Carter, M.D.  
Jack L. and Martha A. Carter  
Marcheta Cartmill

Professor Edward S. Casey, Ph.D.  
Dr. Michael F. and Marcia N. Cassidy  
Cheryl Caster  
Addison S. and Jean G. Cate  
James C. Cavender  
Bert S. and Harriet A. Chamberlain  
Barry Chapman and Jessie P. Norris  
Reuben Chapman  
Roland R. and Jacqueline L.  
Chapman  
Francis and Miranda Childress  
Foundation Inc.  
Chipotle Mexican Grill Inc.  
Yvon and Malinda Chouinard  
Wayne A. and Judith M. Christiansen  
Marshall S. Chrostowski  
Michael S. Churchman  
Nancy A. Cita and John J. Martin  
Dr. Andrew G. Clark and Barbara M.  
Andersen  
Cloyd Clark  
Elizabeth C. Clark  
Jacqueline Clark  
Randy A. and Janice L. Clark  
Regina Clark  
Robert I. Clubine  
C. M. Hendrycks Apiaries  
The Rev. Frank Coady  
Dale K. and Beverly J. Cole  
Phillip Cole  
David C. and Frances E. Coleman  
Dr. Bruce B. Collette  
Collins Family Foundation  
George E. Comstock and Anne  
Hillman  
Kerri Conan  
Dr. Yvonne C. Condell  
Wallace L. and Nancy L. Condon  
Dr. Brian E. and Ann Conner  
Dr. Francis H. Conroy and Linda B.  
Hayes  
Consortia Consulting  
Connie M. Conyac  
Maren Leyla Cooke and Neil M.  
Donahue  
Bonny Cooper  
Paula Jean Cooper  
Barbara A. Coughlin and John Kevin  
Fallon  
Kyle G. Covell  
Anne G. Cowan  
Richard Cowlshaw  
Carolyn Cox and Daniel W. George  
Dianne M. and Gerard Cox  
Greg Cox  
Marc A. Craddock  
Nancy Creamer  
C. L. and Catherine Sloss Crenshaw  
Pamela Cress  
Henry Crew  
William E. and Ann H. Crews  
Kathryn E. Criscola  
Carol Jean Criss  
C.R.O.P.P. Cooperative Inc.  
Elisabeth Crosby and Paul Becker  
Harriett M. Crosby  
Pamela Deanne Cabbage  
Cynthia A. Curlee and Robert C.  
Camp  
Bill Curry  
William C. Cutler and Elisabeth Suter

**D**  
Seth M. Dabney and Deborah A.  
Chessin  
Alexander T. Dadok

## Memorials

### Jan Baldwin

from Brown & Vogel Chartered  
Edwin A. and Laura M. Brownell  
Robert I. Clubine  
Connie M. Conyac  
Robert D. Householter  
Jay D. and Wilda R. Langley  
Wanda Macy

Elizabeth L. Marietta  
Marilyn Marietta  
Patricia Marietta  
Rex R. and Jean McArthur  
Annabelle Nordboe

Cynthia L. and Randy Oetting  
Chuck and Barbara Powell  
Brad Rettele and family  
Dr. Garrick Rettele and family  
Arnold and Donna M. Richards  
Ted J. and Corinne Schur

Sink, Gillmore & Gordon L.L.P.  
Gregory F. and Julie Stephens  
Bruce H. and Margaret Palm Wyatt  
**Lilly-Bell**  
from Chelle, Daniel, Tater and Jesse

**Marty Bender**

from Karen P. McCoy  
David Bradley and Margarette V.  
Wristen

### Marion Binford

from Ann B. Simpson

### George Bramhall

from Elizabeth Bramhall  
Rocky Mountain Orienteering Club

### Clarence Christiansen

from Wayne A. and Judith M.  
Christiansen

### Joan Dolan

from Lawrence L. Dolan Jr.

### George Phillip Durkee

from Robert T. and Carolyn Breyfogle  
First United Methodist Church of St.  
Cloud, Minnesota

Edward D. D. Lalor and Paula S.  
Tompkins

Tony S. Rohs and Karen J. Sherper  
Rohs

Kenneth and Julieanna Sherper

### Martha F. Epler

from Dr. Paul G. Epler

### Gus Forsberg

from Wayne E. and Mary Ellen  
Lander

### Professor Harry R. Highkin

from John Highkin and Cindy  
Zimmerman

### Air Force Lt. Col. James G. Hintz

from Basil H. and Phyllis A. Ash  
Bill and Karen Belcher  
Jeff Brunskill

Bert S. and Harriet A. Chamberlain  
Kathryn E. Ciscola  
Antoinette Kuehn

Jerri L. Patterson

### J. R. "Bob" Hood

from Michael G. and Catherine M.  
Rogers

### Donna Lesh

from Jennifer, Gary, Caleb and Gabe  
Goldstein

### Barbara Maier

from Charles R. Maier

### Charles Marsh

from Wayne and Claudia Smith

### Walt Niemann

from Paul W. Neukirch

### Tensie Oldfather

from Professor James E. and Virginia  
Seaver

### Ben and Mary Smith

from Marcia S. and Michael W. Mayo

### Bill and Ellen Straus

from Alan R. Berkowitz

### Carl, Gus and Mary

from Susan Pokorny

## Honorary Gifts

### Kirk Barrett and Peg McBrien

from Bradley H. and Mary K. Barrett

### Bill Berg

from Adele Bonney

### Adrienne Birt

from Molly Bunch

### Shirley and Robert Bodmer

from Andy and Betsy Finfrock

### Michael Bratton

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Tom and Brenda Cox

from Greg Cox

### Douglas G. Curry

from Bill Curry

### Kurt Dahle and Coco Culbertson

from Gayle Kosh  
Howard Kosh-Redekopp

### Barbara Day Davis

from John W. Day Jr.

### Rosemary and Bob Day

from John W. Day Jr.

### Nath Dresser

from Everett L. and Dorothy A.  
Bullock

### John Edgerton

from James H. Koplin

### David Engelken and Tricia Huth

from Celeste J. Rossmiller, Ph.D.

### Kathy Germann

from John and Dorothy Priske

### Max Gitlen

from Cassie Tharinger

### Phil Gitlen

from Maxwell Gitlen

### Kendal William Hall

from Dr. Betty A. Hall

### Don Heline

from Adele Bonney

### Julie Henderson

from Dr. John Brand French Jr.

### Missy Hopkins and Alden Smith

from Bjorn and Katherine S. Borgen

### Jean-Luc Jannink

from Cheryl Caster

### Cindy Johnson

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Chad and Brandy Johnson

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Chris and Macy Johnson

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Chuck Johnson

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Stephen Jones and Hannelore

Sudermann

from David Sudermann and Mary  
A. Cisar

### Martin Kimm

from Michael B. Lubbers, Ph.D.

### Ron and Sherry Kuglin

from Rob and Cheryl Harber

### Bob and Laura Larson

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Janelle Larson

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Marissa Larson

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Nancy and LeI Larson

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Teresa Larson

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Dorothee and Eric Leifer

from S. Loring Leifer

### Mary McCord

from Ken and Nina Warren

### Thomas C. Mersmann and Robin

Anne Gingerich

from Louis J. and Harriet G. Caplan

### Luis Montaner

from Lynn R. and Jacqueline  
Lovlace Johnson

### Matthew Peters and Sacha Pealer

from Lisa Peters

### Juanita Wright Potter

from Linda Williams

### Raymond and Gladys Regier

from Patrice I. and James R. Krause

### Wedding of Jennifer Richards and

Gregory Garbos

from Jennifer and Gregory Garbos

### The Charles Sloop Family

from William Mark and Denise W.  
Andrews

### Richard G. and Mary Stutz

from John W. Day Jr.

### Matthew Van Dyke

from Nancy B. Van Dyke

### Jay Warren


from Ken and Nina Warren

### Ken Warren

from David Bradley and Margarette  
V. Wristen

### Rodney Willis

from Nicholas Willis



*Geese*, by Herschel Logan. Woodcut, 6 by 4 inches. Courtesy of The Birger Sandzen Memorial Gallery, Lindsborg, Kansas.

Derek Dahlen  
Vernon L. Dahlheimer  
DAK Inc., Doug's Optical Dispensers  
Orren Dale and Rita Kunkel  
Dr. Robert F. and Anna-Margaret C. Dale  
Mary Carolyn Damm  
Dancing Leaf Earth Lodge  
Joan and Richard G. Darrow  
Barbara Day Davis  
Helen M. Davis  
Lawrence C. and Linda W. Davis  
John W. Day Jr.  
Peter R. and Lois Elizabeth Day  
Mark and Georgia R. De Araujo  
Frank J. Dean III  
Robert V. Debellis  
Rodney T. and Jeannette M. Debs  
Sherri L. DeFauw and Patrick J. English  
Alice Jo and Stanley L. DeFries  
Sabino L. and Janice C. DeGisi  
Susan B. Delattre  
Gwen O. Demeter and Gail R. Atkins  
Dennis and Ruth Demmel  
B. Marion and Joan Den Hartog  
Guy L. Denny  
Erik M. Denzer and Hannah Field  
Derek Porter Studio Inc.  
Joseph E. and Jacqueline M. Detelj  
Mari Sorenson and Ed Detrixhe  
Calvin B. and Ruth Ann DeWitt  
Consuelo Choca Diaz  
Will Dibrell and Beverly Bajema  
Martha Dickinson  
M. Cassandra Dickson and Christopher D. Larson  
Steve Dinneen  
Sandra A. DiSante  
Noel and Diane Ditmars  
John and Debbie Divine Donor Advised Fund  
Jan E. and Deborah Robin Dizard  
Otto C. Doering III and Barbara W. Doering  
Lawrence L. Dolan Jr.  
Sister Mary Lou Dolan  
Joan Donaldson  
Dr. Kelley J. Donham  
William A. and Pat L. Dorman  
Gordon K. and Jane Dempsey Douglass  
Frank and Kate Draper  
Henry S. and Linda A. Dreher  
Alan R. Drengron and Victoria Stevens  
Merlin D. and Sandra K. Dresher  
Marjorie Thompson Duck  
R. Michael Duffy and Jacqueline Guidry  
Myrl L. Duncan  
David M. Dunfield and Patricia J. Graham  
Dick Dunhaupt and Patti Hackney  
Gail Ellen Dunlap  
David Durand  
Naomi F. and Dirk D. Durant  
James N. and Teresa H. Dusic  
Peter K. Duval  
Selma N. Duvick

**E**  
Byron and Mary Eatinger  
L. G. Eddy  
Rodney L. Edington  
David A. and Susan T. Egloff

Paul R. and Anne H. Ehrlich  
Chris and Carol Eisenbeis  
Nelda Jo Elder  
Julie B. Elfving  
Dr. William L. and Helen Elkins  
Myron L. and Deborah L. Elliott  
Neil W. and Barbara Lee Elliott  
Eldon L. and Susan G. Elmore  
David Engman  
Douglas D. and Catherine C. Engstrom  
Richard Todd and Kimberly Kelling Engstrom  
Kristi Ennis  
Philip J. Enquist and Joanna Karatzas  
Dr. and Mrs. Paul G. Epler  
Marjorie Lakin Erickson and Wesley Roe  
Eldon E. Esau and Janice L. Regier  
Mary Eubanks  
Wendy and Christopher Evans  
Drs. John J. and Katherine C. Ewel  
Margaret S. and S. A. Ewing  
Robert and Kelli Exline

**F**  
Judy K. Fabry  
David L. and Patricia L. Fancher  
The Fanwood Foundation/West  
Jean E. Fargo  
Elizabeth F. Farnsworth  
John Feffer and Karin J. Lee  
Betsy B. and Fred Fehsenfeld  
Pauline R. and Norman Miles Fellows  
Lisa S. Ferentinos and Solomon W. Kaahaaina  
Richard A. and Miriam L. Ferrell  
R. Lowell Fey  
John W. Fichtner  
G. Matthew Fick  
Lisa A. Fields  
Jay Fier  
Jeffrey M. Filipiak  
First United Methodist Church  
Emily T. Fisher and Evan S. Griswold  
Professor Susan L. Flader  
Dr. David R. and Nancy C. Flatt  
Jeffrey A. and Mary S. Fleming  
Laurence B. Flood  
The Flora Family Foundation  
Scott Florence  
Bernd and Enell Foerster  
Karen Foley  
Brian E. Ford  
Mr. and Mrs. Theodore J. Forke  
Merle A. and Mavis C. Fossum  
Jeremy and Angela Foster  
Carol and James Fox Schott  
The Fox Fund  
Nicolas T. and Gisela Franceschelli  
Charles A. and Barbara L. Francis  
Barbara J. Francisco  
Marcia A. Francisco and Joe R. Bickford  
Franklin Conklin Foundation  
John A. and Mary H. Frantz  
Barbara A. Frase  
Chris E. and Leanna Kirchoff Frasier  
Mr. and Mrs. Edward C. Frederick  
The Rev. Jim L. and Annabel K. Fredrickson  
Leif S. Freeman  
Steffan Freeman  
James M. and Lisa J. French  
Jean W. French and Benjamin R. Fischler

Dr. John Brand French Jr.  
Charles Frickey  
SuEllen and Harvey Fried Family Fund  
Friends of the Kaw  
Fry Masonry  
Thomas A. and Polly A. Fry  
Cyril R. and Donna B. Funk  
Stephen Furey

**G**  
Brenda Gadd  
John W. and Judith M. Gallman  
Jennifer and Gregory Garbos  
Jean Gardner  
Lydia Garvey  
Janice H. Gaston  
David Gates and Diane Gumz  
Brant and Mary Ellen Gaul  
Karl Geislinger  
Gentle Harvest  
John Edward Gerber III  
Kendall A. and Karen M. Gerdes  
The Gessert Family Charitable Fund  
Christopher and Toddie Getman  
Elisabeth Gibans  
Bob Gibney  
Julia K. Gibson  
Gladys C. Gifford and Alvin J. Schuster  
Jack N. and Kim D. Gillam  
Charles N. Giller and Jenny R. Sorenson  
Gerald L. and Mineko S. Gillespie  
Eric G. and Emma Gimon  
Nathan Gingerich  
Maxwell Gitlen  
Dr. Marilyn Franck Glenn  
Michael A. and Karma E. Glos  
John J. and Kathryn L. Glovack  
William S. and Barbara N. Goebel  
Wayne R. Goeken  
Mary Helen M. and Timothy H. Goldsmith  
Jennifer, Gary, Caleb and Gabe Goldstein  
James T. and Margaret E. Good  
Tate T. and Audra J. Gooden  
James P. and Rebecca A. Goodman  
Mark and Jennie Gordon  
Michael R. Gore and Betsy Crawford-Gore  
David Gorsline  
Oscar A. and Margaret F. Gottscho  
Kenneth E. and Shirley A. Gowdy  
Grace Jones Richardson Testamentary Trust  
Rebecca Graff and Thomas J. Ruggieri  
Jack Gray and Mary Jo Wade  
Great Plains Earth Institute  
Laurie C. and G. Garner Green  
Pastor Mark F. Greiner and Kolya Braun-Greiner  
Ms. Marion B. Griswold  
Marian D. Griswold Fund  
Carol Gross  
Jonathan F. and Lois A. Grothe  
Dean and Betty Groves  
Daniel J. and Ana Glicerica Gudahl  
Doug and Ruth Ann Guess  
Wendell D. and Nancy I. Gugler  
Phyllis L. Gunn  
Pete A. and Elizabeth E. Gunter  
Gustafson Law Office P.L.L.C.  
Jerry and Amanda Gutierrez

**H**  
Charles C. Haffner III and Ann Haffner  
George D. and Joann K. Hagen  
Philip M. and Patricia A. D. Hahn  
Dr. Betty A. Hall  
Deborah E. Hall  
John Hall  
Larry L. and Patricia A. Hall  
Margaret M. and James M. Hall  
Paula R. and Van B. Hall  
Dr. M. Martin and Josephine A. Halley  
Sharon Hide and James R. Hamil  
John A. Hamilton  
James B. and Sally Hammerman  
Joyce L. Hanes  
Hanging Dog Valley Nursery  
Don and Nathalie Hanhardt  
Ruth N. and William B. Hannum  
Ellen R. Hansen  
Lloyd B. Hansen  
Art and Natalie Hanson  
Rob and Cheryl Harber  
Randall R. and Saralyn Reece Hardy  
Eric and Ellen Harris-Braun  
Gail J. Harshaw  
Richard C. Haskell and Nancy V. Hamlett  
Delmar and Laverna Hatesohl  
Bert and Dawn Haverkate-Ens  
Hawai'i-La'ieikawai Association Inc.  
Lois F. Hayes  
Marilla P. Hazlett and Brian O. Trigg  
Heartland Presbytery  
Don and Mary Jo Heath  
Daniel L. and Margaret A. Hebert  
Andrew M. and Denise E. Hedberg  
Hedge Haven Farm  
Linda and Jeffrey P. Hedquist  
Peter Ridgaway Hegeman and Patricia Egan  
Heide Enterprises Inc.  
Jerry and Rosemary A. Heidrick  
Heine Brothers' Coffee Inc.  
Jeffrey K. Helkenn  
Dr. Paul Gregory Heltne  
Rollie Henkes  
Helen L. Hennon  
Sally S. Henry  
Frederick G. and Cheryl A. Heppner  
Dr. Robert A. Herendeen  
Eric W. and Mary Herminghausen  
Felicia L. Hermosillo  
Marie K. Hernandez  
Peter J. Hetzel  
Ann Heywood  
Amy M. Hiatt  
Eleanor C. and Kenneth J. Hiebert  
Abigail Higgins  
Daren and Emily H. Higgins  
John Highkin and Cindy Zimmerman  
Judith A. Hill  
Tresa C. Hill and Don R. Mayberger  
William H. and Kathy Hill  
Deborah Brooks Hill, Ph.D.  
Gerald L. and Gloria Ann Hiller  
Joe K. and Virginia N. Hillers  
J. R. Hilliard  
Steven A. and Annabeth Hind  
William E. Hine Jr.  
Clinton R. and Nancy C. Hinman  
Hirschi Donor Advised Fund, Wichita Falls Area Community Foundation

Douglas L. and Shirley U. Hitt  
Giving Fund F.A.F.N.  
Thomas Hittle  
Anton Hodgers and Carol L. Statland  
Joseph O. and Pamela J. Hodges  
Father Phil Hoebing  
Dr. Stanton F. and Carol Hoegerman  
David A. Hoff  
John and Mary Hoffman  
Joyce M. Hofman  
Bridget Lee Holcomb  
Hunter Hollins  
Jenny E. Holmes  
Robert D. and Lynne Weisomann  
Holt  
Gary Holthaus  
William J. and Leslie G. Hooks  
James C. Hormel  
Hospira  
Gregory L. Hostetler  
Nina Rodale Houghton  
Robert D. Householter, Public  
Accountant  
Keim T. and Sylvia R. Houser  
Bruce F. and Debra K. Howard  
June P. Howard  
James F. and Catherine J. Hoy  
Richard E. Hoye  
Jerold and Bonnie Hubbard  
Karl Fred Huemmerich  
Wellington B. and Marcy Huffaker  
E. DeEtte Huffman  
Emily B. Hughes  
Benjamin Eason Huizenga and Seana  
M. Harned  
Jean E. Hulbert  
Lauren M. and Daniel L. Hunt  
Margie and Nick Hunter  
Daniel P. Hunz  
Linda S. and Terry A. Hurst  
Logan L. Hurst  
James A. and Sara Lou Hutchison  
Peter H. and Julie D. Hyde

**I**  
Dr. Gilford J. and Nelda B. Ikenberry  
Hunter Ingalls and Mary Emeny  
C. J. Iremonger and C. C. van Schaik  
Gerald J. and Kristin L. Irissarri  
Charles W. Isenhardt  
Debra K. Israel

**J**  
Dr. and Mrs. J. H. and Franchon  
Jackson  
Martin A. Jacobs  
M. Allen Jacobson  
Jacobson & Schmitt Advisors L.L.C.  
Ronald A. and Grace Jager  
Margaret Jagger  
Paul G. and Elaine D. Jantzen  
Drs. John M. and Reinhild G. Janzen  
Mitchell Japp  
Dr. Charles D. and Gerry Jennings  
Irene C. Jennings  
Jon Jensen and Rachel Sandhorst  
Joan Jerkovich Donor Advised Fund  
Ann L. and Norman S. Jessop  
Harry and Ann Jett Donor Advised  
Fund  
Barbara Johnson  
Bruce A. Johnson and Barbara M.  
Hagen  
Bruce L. Johnson  
Carl L. and Linda K. Johnson  
Duane E. Johnson

Eric R. Johnson and Michele Roesch-  
Johnson  
Larry L. and Pamela J. Johnson  
Lynn R. and Jacqueline Lovelace  
Johnson  
Marlin P. Johnson  
Mina Fields Johnson  
Paul D. Johnson  
Raymond N. and Lola A. Johnson  
Stephan H. Johnson and Patricia A.  
Termini  
Vernon L. and Betty M. Johnson  
W. C. Johnson  
C. Lee Jones  
Clain A. Jones  
Gary and Marilyn Jones  
Nathan Jones  
The Rev. W. Paul Jones  
Allard Jongman and Joan A. Sereno  
Charles R. and Sally B. Jorgensen  
Maya Joseph  
Scott D. Jost and Kathleen A. Holm  
Walter and Mary Ann Jost  
JRC Architect, Planner Inc.  
Justice & Peace Center  
William Justice

**K**  
Kansas State Historical Society  
Klaus G. Karbaumer  
Elisabeth Karpov and Michael G.  
Schatzberg  
Gordon D. Kaufman  
Maynard Kaufman and Barbara  
Geisler  
Tim and Sharon Keane  
Jim and Sue Keating  
The Rev. Nazirmoreh K. B. Kedem  
Joyce Keene and Norman Danielson  
Dr. Carl S. and Gladys E. Keener  
Andy and Nan Fullerton Kegley  
John R. Keller and Cheryl Ann  
Hickey  
Richard W. Keller  
John E. Kellogg  
Sally M. Kendall  
E. Dale Kennedy and Douglas W.  
White  
Taryn Kennedy  
Thomas L. Kennedy  
James C. and Virginia S. Kenney  
Alissa and Neal Keny-Guyer  
Kenneth J. and Sue K. Kerchenfaut  
Edwin Kessler III  
Stephan M. and Dawn F. Kettler  
J. D. Key  
Helen Kiefer  
Bradley and Amanda Kik  
Dr. Thomas R. and Lorna J. Kilian  
Kelly Kindscher  
Pamela D. Kingsbury  
Forrest and Nancy Kinzli  
Kirchhoff Farms  
M. B. Kirkham  
James L. Kirkland Jr.  
Frederick L. Kirschenmann and  
Carolyn E. Raffensperger  
Ingrid H. Kirst  
Kenneth T. and Marlena D. Kirton  
Jay C. Klemme and Anne S. Wilson  
Mark C. Klett  
Bruce L. and Kay Kline  
Paula Kline and Alan J. Wright  
Gerald E. and D. Eileen Klonglan  
Jeffrey W. and Susan D. Knight  
Ulrich Koester and Beth Kautz

Theodore J. Kooser  
James H. Koplin  
George J. and Mary Helen Korbelik  
Nic and Mary Korte  
Gayle Joy Kosh and Howard Kosh-  
Redekopp  
Dennis Michele and Stephen P. Koski  
Mark E. Kossler  
Christopher P. Kowal  
Verna M. and Conrad O. Krahling  
Elizabeth Krase  
Patrice I. and James R. Krause  
Connie S. Kreider  
Keith W. Krieger Charitable Fund  
U. Beate and Douglas M. Krinke  
Wesley J. and Elaine E. Kroeker  
David E. and Roberta J. Kromm  
Gary W. and Patricia F. Kubly  
Antoinette Kuehn  
Gregg C. and Gretchen P. Kumlien  
Katharine Kunst and Katherine Fulton  
Juliana and Sandra Caston Kunz  
Wendell D. and Judith A. Kurr  
David S. and Carol J. Kyner

**L**  
H. Lawrence Lack and Lee Ann Ward  
Charlotte E. Lackey and Donald L.  
Barnett  
Brent Thomas and Elizabeth A. Ladd  
Duane D. and Christine D. Lahti  
Lakeside Corporation  
Edward D. D. Lalor and Paula S.  
Tompkins  
Sylvia Lambert  
Michelle Lambson  
Robert K. and Mary G. Lancefield  
Paul W. and Pamela P. Lander  
Wayne E. and Mary Ellen Lander  
Cheryl F. and Donald M. Landes  
Harriet Dove Landon  
Melissa A. Landon and Denise R.  
Culver  
The Landscape Studio  
M. Daniel and Judi S. Lane  
Jay D. and Wilda R. Langley  
Lloyd H. Larsen  
David and Kathy Larson  
Mark C. Larson  
John E. and Martha J. Laubach  
Dr. Leo E. Lauber  
Laucks Foundation Inc.  
Louis J. and Ann K. Laux  
Jeannine Laverty  
Tim and Jacquilyn S. Lawler  
Edward J. Lawrence  
Peter W. Leach  
Marietta and Alden K. Leatherman  
Leawood Garden Club  
Arlene Lee  
Barbara Lee and Nancy Allen  
Jin Lee  
Jan Leeman  
Eleanor M. Leeper  
Grace T. Lefever  
S. Loring Leifer  
Leighty Foundation  
Christian F. Lenhart  
Professor Carl Leopold  
Laura A. Lesniewski  
Anne Lesser and Thom Lipiczky  
Jeffrey S. and Lea Steele Levin  
Charles A. and Jennifer Lewinsohn  
Daphne B. Lewis  
Sandra E. Lewis  
Frances Schneider Liau

Louise N. Lidicker and Dr. William  
Z. Lidicker Jr.  
Matthew Z. Liebman and Laura C.  
Merrick  
Curt Liesenfelt  
Leslie Lihou  
Judy and Dennis R. Lilly  
Nancy R. Lindbloom  
Joseph G. and Beatrix U. Lindquist  
Paul E. and Carol G. Lingenfelter  
Donald N. and Nancy M. Link  
Lucy R. Lippard  
Bruce and Rochelle W. Lipschultz  
Lee C. and Ann Parker Littlewood  
Leslie P. Livingston and David D.  
Miller, M.D.  
Gerald D. and Janice Lockhart  
Ann R. Loeffler  
Robert and Rachel Loersch  
Robert and Janet London  
Bernard E. and Diana C. Long  
Peter E. Looker  
Kristen Lopez  
Lelain Lorenzen and J. Michael  
Downey  
Marilyn D. Loveless  
Paul M. and Jeanine M. Lovell  
Betty L. Lovett  
Alice M. Loyd  
Anne E. Lubbers  
Michael B. Lubbers, Ph.D.  
Robert E. Lucore and Nora Carroll  
John P. and Lee Luebbe  
Dr. Becky Lynch

**M**  
William H. MacLeish and Elizabeth  
Libbey  
Wanda Macy  
Thomas M. Magstadt  
Thomas Mahoney and Madeline  
Maxeiner  
Charles R. Maier  
Erin Malloy-Hanley and Richard T.  
Hanley  
Michael F. Maniates and Kathleen M.  
Greely  
Charles F. Manlove  
Clay H. and Kimberly S. Marcusen  
Philip S. Margolis  
Marianist Novitiate Mount St. John  
Elizabeth L. Marietta  
John C. and Michael Marietta  
Marilyn Marietta  
Patricia Marietta  
Kevin L. Markey and Candice Miller  
Joyce Markle  
David A. Marks  
Marcia Fisher and John Bradley  
Marston  
Bill Martin and Kathleen League  
Christopher R. Martin and Bettina  
G. Fabos  
David L. Martin  
John R. and Ann E. Martin  
Roger Martin  
Tony Carl and Patsy A. Martin  
James Mason  
Nancy Ambers and George S. Massar  
Ernest L. and Kathy M. Massoth  
Moses and Sadie Mast  
John C. and Susan K. Maus  
Jean Maust  
Gordon E. and Evelyn M. Maxwell  
Matthew L. Mayers  
Carey and Steven Maynard-Moody

Marcia S. and Michael W. Mayo  
John D. Mayronne  
Joe Mazour  
Karin A. McAdams  
Rex R. and Jean McArthur  
Gary McBee  
McBride Family & Aspen Business Center Foundation  
Mary Gayle McCall  
Karen P. McCoy  
Mary Ann and Charles R. McCoy  
Harry and Charlotte McDonald  
Edward D. and Norma J. McDowell  
J. Kyle McDowell  
Christopher J. and Lynda A. McElroy  
Alec F. McErlach  
James Patrick McFadden  
Alberta J. McGrath  
Elizabeth McGuinness  
McKay Co. Inc.  
Deborah K. McKinley  
McLarney Foundation Fund  
Jennifer McMullen  
Dorothy F. McNeil and Dennis M. Koski  
James C. and Diana N. McWilliams  
Curt D. Meine  
Roger K. Meil  
Rachel L. Melis  
Margaret G. Mellon  
Nathan and Ellen E. Melson  
Drs. Manfred and Susan M. Menking  
Thomas E. and Mary Mertz  
Anne D. Meyer  
Karl H. Meyer  
Lauree Hersch Meyer  
Ronald R. and Jan R. Michael  
Rebecca D. Mickelberg  
Gerald E. Mikkelson  
Allan and Jan Milbradt  
Miles Family Fund  
Kathy L. and Preston Miles  
Elizabeth J. Miller  
Eric R. and Mary B. Miller  
John and Mary Ann Miller  
Lois Miller  
Matthew L. and Jennifer D. Miller  
Ross J. and Nancy L. Miller  
Thomas A. and Zora E. Milne  
David V. and Florence Minar  
Craig and Susan Miner  
James D. and Sarah D. Minick  
Gael Rockwell and Ty G. Minton  
Mark Mitchell, D.D.S.  
Richard W. and Susan H. Mitchell  
Rick Mitchell  
Dennis E. and Beverly S. Mohler  
Robert T. Mohler  
Judith A. Mohling  
Norris R. Moglestad  
Jeffrey L. Moline and Kristin Groth  
Robert T. and Kay L. Moline  
Donald M. and Ann E. Morehead  
John N. Moreland Jr.  
Carol J. and Kevin E. Morgan  
Jerome Morgan  
Minor L. Morgan Jr. and Sylvia Alvarez  
Mark A. Morgenstern and Sally A. Meyer  
Kenneth A. and Faye Morley  
Dr. Harold J. and Lucille S. Morowitz  
LuAnn M. and Larry D. Morris  
Doug Mosel  
Donna and Richard Mowry  
Henry W. and Helen J. Moyer  
Dr. Samuel E. Moyer  
Diane M. and Robert L. Muelleman  
Robert V. Mulch  
Cornelia F. and Robert L. Mutel  
Sheila Myers  
**N**  
Ms. Annette S. Naegel  
Joane Nagel  
The Rev. and Dr. Koji F. and Susan L. Nakao  
Native Habitat Developers L.L.C.  
David P. and Nancy A. Nedveck  
Marcia Neely  
Thomas R. Neet Jr.  
Andrew J. and Beth A. Neill  
Frank Neitzert  
Alice C. Nelson  
John Nelson  
Michael L. Nelson  
Robert Nelson  
Arthur K. and Connie S. Neuburger  
Paul W. Neukirch  
Bill Neumann  
Herbert and Pamela Neumann  
Joseph F. Neumann  
New Seasons Market  
Richard D. and Shirley A. Newsome  
Dr. Thomas A. and Jane W. Newton  
Jay and Loretta Nichols  
Mike Nichols  
Wendell K. Nickell  
Ada Niedenthal  
Sara Nienow  
Trix L. Niernberger and Bill Preston  
Paul F. and Elaine Nighswonger  
Dale and Sonya Nimrod  
Bruce J. and Amy W. Noble  
Jorge L. and Patricia O. F. Nobo  
Douglas Nopar and JoAnn Thomas  
Annabelle Nordboe  
Karl S. and Jane B. North  
North Slope Enterprises  
Frank C. and Jeanne Norton  
Charles K. Novogradac and Deborah Milks  
Janet A. and John C. Nybakke  
**O**  
Oak Lodge Foundation  
Paul A. Oberbroeckling and Rebecca A. Orr  
Cliff Ochs and Lucille McCook  
Michael D. and Colleen M. O'Connell  
David J. and Jeanne K. Ode  
Joe O'Dea  
Cynthia L. and Randy Oetting  
Ohio Prairie Nursery  
Michael and Kathleen J. Oldfather  
Jane F. and Charles R. Olsen  
Lawrence R. Olsen  
Debra O'Quinn  
Marian O'Reilly and Stephen M. Lockwood  
Todd A. Osborn  
Jeffrey P. and Maria Lehman Osborne  
Shoshana B. Osofsky  
Dennis A. O'Toole Family Foundation  
John S. and Lee Sayre Overton  
Jim and Katie Owens  
Owen D. Owens  
**P**  
John D. and Sharon M. Palmquist  
Alan L. Parker II and Rebecca Ann Zerger  
Donald G. Parker  
Mary Thorpe Parker  
Joan Bennett and John C. Parsons  
Jerri L. Patterson  
Larry R. and Vicki L. Patton  
Lowell C. Paul  
Norman S. Paul Jr. and Elizabeth J. Peckham  
Pauline-Morton Foundation  
Lisa and Belden H. Paulson  
Ellen Pearson  
Judith S. and Francis B. Pease  
Richard H. Peckham and Maureen J. Nowlan  
Alicia L. Peden  
Zachary and Laura Peek  
Penn State University Pattee Library  
George J. Perkins  
Joy B. and James W. Perry  
Dr. Robert T. and Anne Perschel  
John T. Pesek Jr.  
Lisa Peters  
Paul J. and Karla V. Peters  
Carol Jean and Brian Douglas Petersen  
Anna Peterson and Manuel Vasquez  
K. C. and Stanley Peterson  
Peter L. and Rita E. Peterson  
Petrel Mark International Inc.  
Katharine M. and Harry J. Petrequin  
Bernard L. Peuto and Anne Bertaud-Peuto  
William J. and Cynthia S. Pfannenstiel  
The Philanthropic Collaborative  
Leroy C. Philippi  
Jennifer G. Phillips  
Michael D. Phillips  
Paolo Piacentini  
Diana Carlin and G. Joseph Pierron  
Karen Odessa Piper  
John R. and Tari Ann Piskac  
The Plank Fund  
Dwight R. and LaVonne Platt  
Jim and Bethany Plyler  
Susan E. Pokorny  
David P. and Jill Pollock  
Frank R. Pommersheim and Anne G. Dunham  
Frank J. and Deborah E. Popper  
Portland General Electric  
George D. and Alice M. Potts  
Nora Pouillon  
Chuck and Barbara Powell  
Rex R. Powell  
Alison G. Power and Alexander S. Flecker  
Prairie Moon Nursery Inc.  
Dan Pratt  
Dana Price  
Anne W.B. Prichard  
John and Dorothy Priske  
Marvin P. and Allison Pritts  
Donna Prizgintas  
Professional Soil Consulting L.L.C.  
Provident Organic Farm  
**Q**  
Trucia Quistarc  
**R**  
Radiant Health Chiropractic L.L.C.  
Ken Radtkey and Susan VanAtta  
Robert B. Ragland Foundation, Inc.  
Ruth H. Ragucci, M.D.  
Michael A. and Caryn A. Rains  
Raney Properties L.P.  
Victoria Post and George A. Ranney  
Joan Ratzlaff  
Neal S. and Izen I. Ratzlaff  
Robert H. and Agnes V. Raymond  
Realan Foundation Inc.  
Donald E. and Kathy Stillson Reck  
Andrea Redman and Matthew Loeser  
L. David and Ann Redmon  
Patricia K. and Jerry D. Reece  
Michael and Susan K. Reed  
Jerry D. Rees and Sallie L. Veenstra  
Don T. and Barbara Reeves  
Raymond and Gladys Regier  
David S. and Wendy S. Reinhardt  
Rembe Enterprises  
E. J. Remson  
Nancy W. and John C. Rennie  
Brad Rettele and Family  
Dr. Garrick Rettele and Family  
J. B. Reynolds Foundation  
Stanley Rice  
Judith A. and Amy C. Rice-Jones  
David G. Rich  
Deborah K. Rich  
Justin W. Rich  
Arnold and Donna M. Richards  
Stephen C. Richards  
Mabel C. Richardson  
Margaret G. and John P. Richey  
Muriel A. and James R. Ricketts  
Curtis C. Ridling  
Thomas F. Riesing and Christie Berven  
Peter W. Riggs  
Michael E. and Kathleen F. Riordan  
Theresa M. Ripley and John W. Loughary  
Gordon T. and Barbara A. Risk  
Griffith M. and Mary Roberts  
Leslie G. Robertson and Janet L. Rucker  
Drs. Lila W. and Albert Robinson  
Nancy Roca and Phillip W. Schneider  
Rockhill Charitable Gift Fund  
Rocky Mountain Orienteering Club  
Michael G. and Catherine M. Rogers  
Tony S. Rohs and Karen J. Sherper Rohs  
Lenton D. Roller  
Adam W. Rome and Robin G. Schulze  
Rex S. and Carol L. Romeiser  
Celeste J. Rossmiller, Ph.D.  
Philip Roudebush  
James A. Rousmaniere Jr. and Sharon Ostow  
Roy Ronald and Marie Ruhnke  
Michael P. and Regula Russelle  
Christopher W. and Rochelle Ryan  
Janyce M. Ryan  
**S**  
Paul E. Sabin and Emily C. Bazelow  
Niklaus N. Salafsky and Julia A. Segre  
T. A. Sampson  
John F. and Dori Samson  
Andy and Jill Sandler  
Wayne E. and Lou Ann Sangster  
Marjorye Savage-Heeneey and Barney J. Heeneey Jr.  
E. Wayne Say  
Kathleen Sayce and Frank Wolfe  
Robert F. and Hutha R. Sayre

Henry E. and Dianne M. Schaffer  
Richard C. and Elizabeth C. Schaffer  
Jeff J. and Celia M. Schahczenski  
W. Neill and Karen M. Schaller  
Gregory Scharf  
William D. Scharf  
James E. and Louise G. Schatz  
Richard A. and Dorothy T. Scherer  
Megan Schipanski  
Shelley D. and Greg H. Schlender  
Dennis and Linda Schlicht  
Don Schlick Carpentry  
Robert E. Schlick  
Joel A. Schmidt and Amanda L. Raetzman  
Tom Schmiedeler  
Steffen A. and Rachel Schneider  
B. John Schole  
Kathlyn J. Schoof  
Jeffrey J. Schruben  
Ted J. and Corinne Schur  
John S. and Ann M. Schuster  
Michael L. Schwab and Rebecca Rose  
A. J. and Jane E. Schwartz  
Amy D. Schwartz  
Chris A. Schweitzer  
Diana M. Scott  
Katherine L. Scott  
Kent Scott  
Professor James E. and Virginia Seaver  
Darren C. Seirer and Dominique Schulte  
Scott Seirer  
Raymond F. and Mary C. Sell  
Charles Seshier and Phyllis A. Shesher  
Cecil E. and Gloria C. Settle  
Ellie C. Shacter  
Kay A. and R. O. Shanks  
Charles A. and Joan Sudmann Shapiro  
Julianna Shaull and Eric W. Howland  
Martha J. Shaw  
Michael and Janet W. Shay  
Lynn Corey Sheldon and Daniel H. Hudnut  
Stuart L. and Scott Shell  
Jim and Sara Shelton  
Eric S. Sheppard  
Richard B. and Audrey M. Sheridan  
Kenneth and Julieanna Sherper  
Dorothy F. and William B. Shore Fund  
Deborah L. and John D. Shortess  
Mary Helen Shortridge  
Diana L. Siegal  
Ann B. Simpson  
John M. Simpson and Sondra L. Goodman  
William A. and Janice D. Simpson  
Professor Charles F. and Janet S. Sing  
E. Crichton and Martha M. Singleton  
Sink, Gillmore & Gordon, L.L.P.  
Dudley Sisk  
Mary K. Sisson and R. Douglas Ackley  
Lee R. Skabelund  
Laura E. Skelton and Thomas A. Buford  
Martha S. Skillman  
Donald E. and Elvera W. Skokan  
Kathy Lynn and Joshua Skov  
Tim Skwiot  
Benjamin H. and Susan G. Slote  
John A. and Beverly Sluss  
Dennis R. and Peggy Smart  
Fred Smeds  
Barrie Smith  
Beth K. Smith  
Boyd E. and Heather M. Smith  
Daniel and Yen-Ling Smith  
Lola and John G. Smith  
Mark and Sara Wilson Smith  
Vanessa Smith  
Wayne and Claudia Smith  
Sue Smith-Heavenrich and Lou D. Heavenrich  
Dr. Robert B. and Marianne K. Smythe  
Vada Snider  
Seymour and Sara H. Sohmer  
Armando Solano  
David R. and Susan Burford Solenberger  
Larry Soll and Nancy C. Maron  
Solomon State Bank  
Sidney L. Sondergard  
Carol S. Sonnenschein and Stuart H. Feen  
Martin L. and Theresa M. Sonnet  
South Carolina Coastal Conservation League Inc.  
John T. Spargo  
James H. and Carol Sue Spence  
James Gustave Speth Fund for the Environment  
Marianne Spitzform, Ph.D.  
Robert Staffanson  
Julia Stafford  
Eric A. and Mary Louise Stahl  
Clayton D. Stalling  
Sylvia and Donald F. Stanat  
Linda Wellman Stansfield  
Raymond H. Starrett  
G. K. and Gail W. Stearman  
Helen and Daniel Stechschulte  
David B. and Claudia B. Steckel  
John W. Steggall Jr. and Martha L. Quenon  
Robert J. and Lyda L. Steiert  
Dr. Joseph M. and Lucy N. Stein  
Rabbi Peter Stein  
Claude Edmund Stephens  
Gregory F. and Julie Stephens  
Michael J. Stephenson and Alexandra Aurilia  
Timothy Steury and Diane S. Noel  
Dean Stevens  
Keith Stevens  
Elizabeth J. Stickney  
Paul D. Stolen and Deborah K. Amazi  
Robert Stolze  
Pablo and Jenean Stone  
Russell H. and Dorothy N. Stone  
Jim Stowers III  
Muriel Strand  
Palma J. Strand  
Verner E. and Marlys Strand  
E. Malcolm Strom  
Steven Stucky  
Joyce R. and Greg E. Studen  
John Carl and Virginia A. Stuhr  
G. M. Stunkel  
Brian J. and Jonita L. Suderman  
David P. Sudermann and Mary A. Cisar  
Matthew and Elaine Brown Sullivan  
Preston G. Sullivan  
Sharon L. Sullivan  
Timothy R. and Karen L. Sullivan  
Patrick Sullivant  
Sunflower Sundries  
Robert A. and Mary F. Super  
Harold R. Supernaw  
Alice and Willis Sutton  
Representative Joshua and Kimberly Svaty  
Edward C. and Janice C. Swab  
Connie S. Swan and Robert A. Klunder  
David K. and Shelli A. Swanson  
Mr. Christopher J. Sweeney  
Sharon Sweeney  
Loren Swift  
L. F. Swords  
Lisa Symons  
**T**  
Antoinette M. Tadolini and Charles R. Clack  
Kelly G. and Angela M. Tagtow  
Constance Taylor  
Gary E. Tegtmeier  
Ruth Terrill  
Bruce E. and Sharon J. Texley  
Cassie Tharinger  
Richard Thieltges  
Robert W. and Linda B. Thomas  
Ed Thompson  
John W. Thompson  
Craig D. Thomsen  
William M. Throop III and Meriel Brooks  
Thummel Real Estate and Auction  
Dr. Frank R. and Judy K. Toman  
Amy L. Tomson  
Richard and Marney Toole  
Andre and Ruth Anne Toth  
Douglas Clark Towne  
Karen M. Trifonoff  
Sarah Chappell Trulove and James W. Woelfel  
Bruce C. Tsiknas  
Mary Evelyn Tucker and John A. Grim  
Turtle Foundation  
**U**  
Charles E. and Hendrika Umbanhowar  
Cork and Ella Umphrey  
University of Kansas/Watson Library  
Wes and Ellie Unruh  
**V**  
Robert Himmerich y Valencia, Ph.D., and Eva Valencia de Himmerich  
Marjorie and Lynn Van Buren  
Michael and Paula M. Van Clef  
Matt Van Dyke  
Nancy B. Van Dyke  
Al Van Maren  
Gregory A. Vanderbilt  
Bettina H. Vandewater  
Marcia and David VanLandingham  
Dr. Gary E. and Janice Vaughn  
Donald and Joan Veldkamp  
Gary A. and Madelyn P. Verhaeghe  
The Vervane Foundation  
Carol B. Vesecky  
Sidney L. Vetter  
Gary Allen and Donna June Via  
Eve Vogel  
Heidi Vogel  
Curt and Sue Volkman  
Elizabeth J. Vollbrecht  
Thomas von Geldern and Cynthia L. Skrukud  
Ronald J. and Nancy A. Vos  
**W**  
Diane and Robert Waddell  
David Wadsworth and Heidi Betz  
A. Paul and Mary Wagoner  
David E. Wagoner and Arwen Donahue  
Alvin Wahl  
Elizabeth C. Walbridge  
Patricia J. and Samuel H. Walker  
Robert C. Wallis  
K. T. Walsh  
Christel and Manfred A. Walter  
Janean A. Walters  
Dr. Steven G. and Elaine A. Waltz  
Dan Wancura  
Richard T. and Barbara R. Ward  
Dr. Louise O. Warner and Clyde Gosnell  
Thomas J. Warner  
Nancy E. Warner, M.D.  
David and Teri A. Warners  
Ken and Nina Warren  
Brian C. Wass  
C. Edwin Waters  
Deborah R. and David M. Watson  
Richard S. Waxman  
David J. Waxse and Judy C. Pfannenstiel  
Jim Weaver  
Mark Weaver  
Phillip B. Weaver and Kathleen E. Leenders  
Suzanne Webber and William A. Miller  
Monsignor John George Weber  
Leonard J. and Margaret M. Weber  
Wallace N. Weber  
Daniel W. and Randi M. Weeks  
Jeffrey A. Weih  
Marc and Kathleen H. Weinberger  
Georgette M. Weir and Jean Claude Fouere  
Dr. and Mrs. Charles R. Wesner  
Howard B. and Dorothy D. Westley  
Paula S. Westmoreland  
Warren and Geneva Weston  
Todd A. Wetzel and Sara Stahl  
Orval L. and Mary C. Weyers  
Valerie Wheeler and Peter Esainko  
Arthur P. and Jody Whipple  
James M. White and Martha A. Liston  
Sandra and David Whitmore  
Duncan Whittier and Connie Corbett-Whittier  
Rebecca Wiebe and Michael Freed  
Paula J. Wiech  
Amy J. Wildermuth and Gueneverie J. Foster  
Wilderness Community Education Foundation  
Brook Jonathan and Emily Wilke  
The Rev. Cynthia C. Willauer  
Dr. Julia Willebrand  
Roslyn Willett  
Judith E. Williams  
Linda W. Williams  
Smith Williams Jr.  
Nicholas Willis  
Phillip J. Wilmore  
Leni A. Wilsman and Andrea M. Poniers  
John O. and Anne B. Wilson

Peter H. Wirth and Ann Fisher-Wirth  
 Professor Norman Wirzba  
 Klaus H. and Karin Wisiol  
 Franklin P. and Jean Howell Witte  
 Dorothy P. Wonder  
 Richard Wood  
 Tandy C. Wood  
 Mark and Pam Woodard  
 Nelson P. and Deborah Woodard  
 Kevin J. and Diana L. Woods  
 George M. and Katharine R. Woodwell  
 Work Family Estate Trust  
 Dr. William S. and Kathryn E. Worley  
 Catherine A. Worster and David Beran  
 Donald E. and Beverley J. Worster  
 Alice Wozniak  
 Angus Wright  
 Austin C. and Ramona M. Wright  
 Bruce H. and Margaret Palm Wyatt  
 Nancy L. Wygant  
**Y**  
 George and Margaret Yarnevich  
 Carolyn B. Young  
 J. Lowell and Ruth Ann Young  
 Rebecca Young  
 Walter Youngquist  
**Z**  
 David E. and Linda M. Zahrt

Dr. William M. and Dorothy A. Zales  
 Ruth R. and Mark W. Zalonis  
 Jon and Bette Simon Zehnder  
 Kirsten L. Zerger and Sanford N. Nathan  
 Larry and Sylvia Zevenbergen  
 Dr. Dewey K. Ziegler  
 Karl S. Zimmerer and Medora D. Ebersole  
 Ann M. Zimmerman and Dexter Eggers  
 John M. and Mary M. Zinkand  
 Mrs. Anne Zinsser  
 Dr. Uko and Jane Zylstra

## Donors of Time and Goods

People and groups help us by giving materials and their time.

Martha Bryant, Ph.D.  
 Clubine and Rettele Chartered  
 Patricia S. Melander  
 Daniel L. Watkins

# The Writers and Artists

Harry Shokler, 1896-1978, helped develop silk screen printmaking, and made oil paintings, etchings and wood engravings.

Edward C. Robison III is a photographer in Douglas County, Kansas. He is co-author of *The Kansas Landscape: Images from Home*. More of his photos are at ECR3.com.

Herman E. Daly is an ecological economist and professor of public policy at the University of Maryland. His books include *Beyond Growth* and *Ecological Economics*.

David W. Orr is a professor of environmental studies and politics at Oberlin College. His books include *Earth in Mind* and *The Nature of Design*.

Conn Nugent is executive director of J. M. Kaplan Fund in New York City, and chairman of The Land Institute's Board of Directors.

Samuel Chamberlain, 1895-1975, was an artist and teacher at Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Herschel Logan, 1901-87, grew up on a farm near Winfield, Kansas, and helped found Prairie Print Makers.

## I want to be a perennial friend of the land

Here's my tax-deductible gift to support Land Institute programs

Our research is opening the way to a new agriculture—farming modeled on native prairie. Farmers using Natural Systems Agriculture will produce food with little fertilizer and pesticide, and build soil instead of lose it. If you share this vision and would like to help, please become a Friend of the Land. To do so and receive *The Land Report*, clip or copy this coupon and return it with payment to

The Land Institute  
 2440 E. Water Well Road  
 Salina, KS 67401

Please print

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP code \_\_\_\_\_

I authorize The Land Institute each month to

Transfer from my checking account (enclose check for the first monthly payment)

Charge my credit or debit card

\$125    \$75    \$55    \$15    \$5    Other \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Deduct my tax-deductible gift on the  5th of each month    20th of each month.

I authorize a one-time gift of

\$5,000    \$500    \$250    \$125    \$50    Other \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Payment method:  My check, made payable to The Land Institute, is enclosed.

Charge my    Visa    MasterCard    Discover

Account No. \_\_\_\_\_ Expires \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Monthly giving: We will transfer your gift on the date you select until you notify us otherwise. You can change or cancel your monthly donation at any time by calling or writing The Land Institute. We will confirm your instructions in writing.

LR90

# Notes on the History of World Energy Use

**V**aclav Smil is a professor at the University of Manitoba, and explores energy in many books. Here are bites from a trim one efficiently packed with information, *Energies: An Illustrated Guide to the Biosphere and Civilization*.

■ “Energy is the only universal currency: One of its many forms must be transformed to another in order for stars to shine, planets to rotate, plants to grow, and civilizations to evolve. Recognition of this universality was one of the great achievements of 19th century science, but, surprisingly, this recognition has not led to comprehensive, systematic studies that view our world through the powerful prism of energy.”

■ The total mass of domestic animals, dominated by large ungulates, is now perhaps as much as 20 times the total of all wild vertebrates, and the mass of our species is an order of magnitude larger than the total for all wild mammals.

■ By the 1850s wood, charcoal and straw were still dominant fuels everywhere except in a few European countries, and the total annual per capita combustion of all fuels was less than 1,100 pounds of wood equivalent. By the mid-1990s the global per capita annual output of fossil fuels and primary electricity was about 3,300 pounds of oil equivalent. In gross energy terms this is a nearly eightfold

rise, but because prevailing energy conversion efficiencies in 1850 averaged around just 15 percent, whereas now they reached about 40 percent, the average per capita consumption of useful energy was about 20 times higher, an unprecedented change after centuries of stagnation or marginal growth.

■ Global per capita consumption of electricity has grown roughly sixfold between 1950 and 2005.

■ “Since 1900 the world’s cultivated area increased only by about a third, but with more than a fourfold increase of average yields the total crop harvest rose almost sixfold. This gain has been due largely to more than eightyfold increase of energy inputs to crop cultivation.”

■ Synthetic ammonia, made with natural gas, now provides nearly half of the nitrogen taken up by the world’s crops. Because crops supply about three-fourths of all nitrogen in metabolized proteins—the rest coming from oceans and grazing—at least every third person worldwide, and perhaps two out of every five people, gets his dietary protein from synthetic nitrogenous fertilizers.

■ “We will never know the exact figure, but the development and deployment of nuclear weapons and associated delivery systems has consumed at least one-tenth of all commercial energy used worldwide since 1945.”



2440 E. Water Well Road  
Salina, KS 67401

---

Non-Profit Organization  
U.S. Postage Paid  
Permit #81  
Salina, KS 67401

---

Address Service Requested

If the date on your label is before 7-1-07, this is your last issue. Please renew your support.