Table 1. Embodied energy in typical annual inputs for the Sunshine Farm.

	On-farm		Purchased		Total
Components	Feed, N ^a	Fuel ^b	Seed, fertilizer	Amortized capital	
A-1440	GJ				
Animal production	57	18		41	116
Crop production	7°	62	15	1	85
Tractors (2), combine, swather		2		32	34
Horses (2), fences, stalls, waterlines	18 ^d	2		2	22
Field implements and equipment		1		39	40
Pickup and dump truck				13	13
Buildings and bins				19	19
Photovoltaic array and prorated grid				34	34
Electricity (farm, not house) ^e		11			11
Phosphorus & potassium (simulate)			12		12
Legume N fixation (fossil fuel-equiv.)	58				58
Subtotal (no labor charge)	140	96	27	181	444
Total with labor as portion of					
Amish lifestyle					487
Average US rural lifestyle					574
-					

^a N, nitrogen.

Martin H. Bender . Energy in Agriculture: Lessons from the Sunshine Farm Project. Presented at the Third Biennial International Workshop, Advances in Energy Studies: Reconsidering the Importance of Energy, Porto Venere, Italy, 24-28 September 2002 (proceedings in press).

^b This was met by 85 GJ of biodiesel (Table 2) and the primary energy-equivalent of 11 GJ in electricity from the photovoltaic array.

^c Horse feed for work, not counting maintenance (footnote d).

^d Horse feed, 3 GJ for foal reproduction [41] and 15 GJ for maintenance [24], both for 2 workhorses.

 $^{^{\}rm c}$ This was primary energy displaced by electricity at the rate of 10.55 MJ (10,000 Btu) per kWh [27].

Table 2. Gross energy in typical annual production from 20 ha of cropland on the Sunshine Farm.

Gross energy (GJ) Production 85^a Oilseed oil for biodiesel Oilseed meal fed on farm 40 Oilseed meal marketed 240 Crops fed on farm 360 Crops marketed 200 140^{b} Green manure legumes Total 1,065

Martin H. Bender . Energy in Agriculture: Lessons from the Sunshine Farm Project. Presented at the Third Biennial International Workshop, Advances in Energy Studies: Reconsidering the Importance of Energy, Porto Venere, Italy, 24-28 September 2002 (proceedings in press).

^a This value for the extracted oil also represents the gross energy in the methanol ester biodiesel, i.e., methanol esterification of triglyceride oil causes little change in gross energy. This was confirmed for soybeans and sunflowers.

^b Not harvested, but plowed down for soil fertility.

Table 3. Inputs and outputs per hectare and energy ratios for mixed crop and livestock farms.

Per hectare of cropland Energy ratio Farm All of marketed All energy Marketed marketed purchased ratio crops to outputs (Q)^b crops (C)^a inputs (I)^c (O÷I) outputs <u>Farms</u> (C÷O) ----- (t.) ---------- unitless -----**Sunshine Farm** 2.4 22.0 25.1 10.4 0.88 No labor charge With Amish labor charge^d 12.6 2.0 #1 11 With US labor charge^d 16.9 1.5 9.1 5.2 1.8 0.69 PA dairy farm [42]^e 6.3 Groups of Amish farms^{e,f} 2 groups (PA) [10] 0.7 - 0.81.8 - 2.37.3 - 17.28.6–24.5 0.14 - 0.251.0-1.64 groups (PA, WI, IL) [11] 5.5 - 13.23.4–13.6 Groups of conventional farms^{e,f} 3 groups (PA) [10] 0.4^{g} 0.14 - 0.292.1 - 4.214.3-18.0 34.4-45.6 3 groups (PA, WI) [11] 7.0 - 12.821.6–25.5 0.3 - 0.624.0 2.0 0.59 Group with greatest 28.4 48.0 marketed output (IL) [11]

Martin H. Bender . Energy in Agriculture: Lessons from the Sunshine Farm Project. Presented at the Third Biennial International Workshop, Advances in Energy Studies: Reconsidering the Importance of Energy, Porto Venere, Italy, 24-28 September 2002 (proceedings in press).

^a Includes oilseed meal marketed from Sunshine Farm.

^b Outputs from crops, meal, and animals.

^c Includes all amortized capital for Sunshine Farm, but only equipment, machinery, and sometimes building repair for other farms.

^d Goods and services in lifestyle support were regarded as purchased inputs (see Methods).

^e PA, Pennsylvania; WI, Wisconsin; IL, Illinois.

f Range of group averages.

^g The ratio was the same, by coincidence, for the three groups of farms defined by dairy herd size.