

BIODIVERSITY & ECOSYSTEM SERVICES IN AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION SYSTEMS



# PERENNIAL CROPS FOR FOOD SECURITY

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE FAO EXPERT WORKSHOP

28-30 August, 2013, Rome, Italy



# PERENNIAL CROPS FOR FOOD SECURITY

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE FAO EXPERT WORKSHOP

28-30 August, 2013, Rome, Italy

Special acknowledgements to the  
Ministero delle Politiche Agricole, Alimentari e Forestali  
who supported the proceedings

### EDITORS

***Caterina Batello***

Senior Officer and Team Leader, Ecosystem Approach to Crop Production Intensification,  
Plant Production and Protection Division, (AGP) Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)

***Len Wade***

Strategic Research Professor - Systems Agronomy and Crop Physiology,  
Charles Sturt University

***Stan Cox***

Senior Researcher, The Land Institute

***Norberto Pogna***

Consiglio per la Ricerca e la sperimentazione in Agricoltura (CRA)

***Alessandro Bozzini***

Agenzia Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e lo Sviluppo Economico Sostenibile (ENEA)

***John Choptiany***

Ecosystem Approach to Crop Production Intensification, Plant Production and Protection Division,  
(AGP) Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of FAO.

ISBN 978-92-5-107998-0 (print)

E-ISBN 978-92-5-107999-7 (PDF)

FAO encourages the use, reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product. Except where otherwise indicated, material may be copied, downloaded and printed for private study, research and teaching purposes, or for use in non-commercial products or services, provided that appropriate acknowledgement of FAO as the source and copyright holder is given and that FAO's endorsement of users' views, products or services is not implied in any way.

All requests for translation and adaptation rights,  
and for resale and other commercial use rights  
should be made via  
[www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request](http://www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request)  
or addressed to  
[copyright@fao.org](mailto:copyright@fao.org)

FAO information products are available on the FAO website ([www.fao.org/publications](http://www.fao.org/publications))  
and can be purchased through [publications-sales@fao.org](mailto:publications-sales@fao.org)

© FAO 2014



## FOREWORD TO THE PROCEEDINGS

**S**ustainable production systems have always relied on the flexibility, efficiency, and multiple functions of perennial trees and forages grown in combination with annual cereals, legumes, and oil species. But over the last 50 years, research, technologies and markets have focused mainly on a limited number of annual species to meet the increased demand for food. Furthermore, the primary focus was on increasing grain yields with reduced attention given to the social, environmental and market consequences of these food systems.

However, food security and agriculture are now entering an era characterized by scarce and depleted resources, climate change, price volatility and job losses. To adapt to this new era, agricultural technologies, science and markets have to be transformed to ensure sufficient food is produced for a growing population, while meeting simultaneously the economic, social and environmental challenges of twenty first century.

**Perennial cereals, legumes and oil species** represent a paradigm shift in agriculture and hold great potential to move towards sustainable production systems. Today, most agronomic practices used to grow annual crops require excessive water consumption, significant amounts of synthetic mineral fertilizers, labour, emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> and disrupt natural biological processes. Perennial crops instead are more rustic, improve soil structure and water retention capacity and contribute to increase climate change adaptation and mitigation practices and promote biodiversity and ecosystem functions.

Although in some ways perennial crops are at the forefront of scientific research with new varieties being developed, they also represent a thinking that goes back thousands of years when many cropping systems were based on perennial species including fruit trees, alfalfa, perennial rice, rye, and olive trees. In addition to modern breeding techniques, many wild and poorly domesticated species and varieties are available for research and interbreeding and hold potential to contribute to modern sustainable production systems. Through the development and breeding of these wild and semi-domesticated perennial varieties with commercially important and high yielding crops we will be able to achieve the best of both worlds.



Perennial crop research began in earnest about 30 years ago and has been growing ever since. There are now perennial crop varieties of oilseeds, legumes, wheat, sorghum, rice, sunflowers among many other crops. Significant uncertainties and challenges remain, related to increasing perennial crop yields and how to mainstream perennial crops into common farming practices and market systems.

**FAO's Strategic Objectives** are central to perennial crop research, specifically Strategic Objective 2 to: *Increase and improve provision of goods and services from agriculture, forestry and fisheries in a sustainable manner*. Perennial crops and the workshop were also developed under the framework of *Save and Grow* principles of ecological intensification of agricultural production.

**CRA's strategic objectives** are central to perennial crop research as well. In facts, CRA's mission is to perform agricultural research and develop innovation systems to alleviate poverty, increase food security and promote the sustainable use of natural resources, the same multiple objectives of perennial crops.

**The proceedings of the Workshop** held in FAO, 28-30 August 2013 organized by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the Consiglio per la Ricerca e la sperimentazione in Agricoltura (CRA) are intended to allow for the dissemination of the most recent research in the field. During the workshop gaps were identified, new partnerships discussed and priorities were identified for follow up actions.

The papers in these proceedings are arranged by the three main themes outlined during the workshop and include: *Genetics and breeding: state of the art, gaps and opportunities*; *Agro-systems, ecology and nutrition*; and *Policy, economics and way forward*. This was preceded by a welcoming address by Dr. Ren Wang, Assistant Director-General, Agriculture and Consumer Protection Department (AG), and followed by closing remarks by Dr. Clayton Campanhola, Director AGP.

The videos outline the main messages of the workshop by the participants and can be found at: [www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLzp5NgJ2-dK4\\_itTMZqwUEg4BTBymkWgw](http://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLzp5NgJ2-dK4_itTMZqwUEg4BTBymkWgw), while material for the workshop can be found at:

[www.fao.org/agriculture/crops/thematic-sitemap/theme/spi/fao-expert-workshop-on-perennial-crops-for-food-security/en/](http://www.fao.org/agriculture/crops/thematic-sitemap/theme/spi/fao-expert-workshop-on-perennial-crops-for-food-security/en/)

**We would like to thank** the support for this workshop provided by FAO (especially Dr. Clayton Campanhola, Dr. Shakeel Bhatti, Dr. Constance Neely and Dr. Barbara Herren), the Consiglio per la Ricerca e la sperimentazione in Agricoltura (CRA) (especially Dr. Norberto Pogna, Dr. Stefano Bisoffi and Dr. Ida Marandola), the Land Institute (especially Dr. Stan Cox), Charles Sturt University (especially Dr. Len Wade) and CSIRO. We would like to thank all the presenters and participants at the workshop.

Joint signatures by:



**Dr. Ren Wang**

Assistant Director General  
Department of Agriculture,  
Food and Agriculture Organization  
of the United Nations



**Prof. Giuseppe Alonzo**

President CRA  
Consiglio per la Ricerca e  
la sperimentazione in Agricoltura



# CONTENTS

<b>Foreword</b> to the Proceedings .....	iii
List of Figures and Tables .....	viii
List of Abbreviations.....	xiii
<b>Introduction</b> – Perennial Crops for Food Security <i>Stan Cox</i> .....	1
<i>Genetics and breeding: state of the art, gaps and opportunities</i> .....	5
<b>01 Perennial crops: needs, perceptions, essentials</b> <i>Len J. Wade</i> .....	6
<b>02 Perennial rice: challenges and opportunities</b> <i>Erik J. Sacks</i> .....	16
<b>03 The progression of perennial rice breeding and genetics research in China</b> <i>Shila Zhang, Wensheng Wang, Jing Zhang, Zhang Ting, Wangqi Huang, Peng Xu, Dayun Tao, Binyin Fu, Fengyi Hu</i> .....	27
<b>04 Perennial wheat breeding: current germplasm and a way forward for breeding and global cooperation</b> <i>Philip J. Larkin, Matthew T. Newell</i> .....	39
<b>05 Evaluation of nine perennial wheat derivatives grown in Italy</b> <i>Norberto E. Pogna, Elena Galassi, Roberto Ciccoritti, Ester De Stefanis, Daniela Sgrulletta, Pierino Cacciatori, Laura Gazza, Alessandro Bozzini</i> .....	54
<b>06 Current efforts to develop perennial wheat and domesticate <i>Thinopyrum intermedium</i> as a perennial grain</b> <i>Lee R. DeHaan, Shuwen Wang, Steven R. Larson, Douglas J. Cattani, Xiaofei Zhang, Traci Viinanan</i> .....	72
<b>07 Viewpoint: multiple-harvest sorghums toward improved food security</b> <i>Andrew H. Paterson, T. Stan Cox, Wenqian Kong, Maria Navarro</i> .....	90
<b>08 Breeding and genetics of perennial maize: progress, opportunities and challenges</b> <i>Seth C. Murray, Russell W. Jessup</i> .....	103
<b>09 Evaluating perennial candidates for domestication: lessons from wild sunflower relatives</b> <i>David L. Van Tassel, Sean R. Asselin, Sheila A. Cox, Gina Sideli, Douglas J. Cattani</i> .....	112
<b>10 Domestication of <i>Lepidium campestre</i> as part of Mistra Biotech, a research programme focused on agro-biotechnology for sustainable food</b> <i>Mulatu Geleta, Li-Hua Zhu, Sten Stymne, Anna Lehrman, Sven Ove Hansson</i> .....	141
<b>11 Agriculture redesign through perennial grains: case studies</b> <i>Sieglinde Snapp</i> .....	148

## *Agro-systems, ecology and nutrition* ..... 157

- 12 From genetics and breeding to agronomy to ecology**  
*Stan Cox, Timothy Crews, Wes Jackson* ..... 158
- 13 Economics and system applications for perennial grain crops in dryland farming systems in Australia**  
*Lindsay W. Bell* ..... 169
- 14 From field to table: perspectives and potential for fruit domestication**  
*Briana L. Gross, Allison J. Miller* ..... 187
- 15 Development and marketing of perennial grains with benefits for human health and nutrition**  
*David C. Sands, Alice Pilgeram, Cindy E. Morris* ..... 208
- 16 Intercropping of legumes with cereal crops in particular with the perennials to enhance forage yields and quality**  
*Dost Muhammed, Ates Serkan* ..... 221
- 17 Development of continuous living cover breeding programmes to enhance agriculture's contribution to ecosystem services**  
*Bryan Runck, Michael Kantar, James Eckberg, Richard Barnes, Kevin Betts, Clarence Lehman, Lee DeHaan, Robert Stupar, Nicholas Jordan, Craig Sheaffer, Paul Porter, Donald Wyse* ..... 229
- 18 Are perennial crops more adapted to maintain long-term relationships with soils and, therefore, to sustainable production systems, soil restoration and conservation?**  
*Wim H. van der Putten* ..... 252
- 19 Perennial grain systems: a sustainable response to future food security challenges**  
*John P. Reganold* ..... 256
- 20 Perennial grains: beyond bootlegging, feasibility and proof-of-concept**  
*Jerry D. Glover* ..... 266
- 21 A new species of wheat that continues to grow after harvest**  
*Stephen Jones, Colin Curwen-McAdams, Mathew Arterburn* ..... 274

## *Policy, economics and way forward* ..... 281

- 22 Twelve principles for better food and more food from mature perennial agroecosystems**  
*Roger R.B. Leakey* ..... 282
- 23 Perennial crops and trees: targeting the opportunities within a farming systems context**  
*John Dixon, Dennis Garrity* ..... 307
- 24 Perennial polycultures: how do we assemble a truly sustainable agricultural system?**  
*Douglas J. Cattani* ..... 324
- 25 Agronomic management of perennial wheat derivatives: using case studies from Australia to identify challenges**  
*Richard C. Hayes, Matthew T. Newell, Mark R. Norton* ..... 339
- 26 Back to the future! Thoughts on ratoon rice in Southeast and East Asia**  
*Ronald D. Hill* ..... 362
- 27 Present situation concerning the introduction of perennial habit into annual crops**  
*Alessandro Bozzini* ..... 376
- 28 Recommendations: perennial agriculture and landscapes of the future**  
*Constance Neely, John Choptiany, Caterina Batello* ..... 380





## LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

### 02 Perennial rice: challenges and opportunities

<b>Figure 1.</b> A rice field in Japan during autumn shows vigorous regrowth after harvest of the first crop .....	17
<b>Figure 2.</b> Origins of domesticated rice in relation to perennial growth .....	18
<b>Figure 3.</b> Rice area and production by cultivation system.....	19
<b>Figure 4.</b> Upland rice production on hilly lands in Southeast Asia .....	21

### 03 The progression of perennial rice breeding and genetics research in China

<b>Figure 1.</b> Soil erosion in Upland Rice field in Yunnan, China .....	28
<b>Table 1.</b> <i>Oryza</i> species, the species complex, chromosomes, genome group and distribution .....	29
<b>Figure 2.</b> The <i>O. longistaminata</i> (AA genome) .....	30
<b>Figure 3.</b> The strategy for perennial rice breeding .....	31
<b>Figure 4.</b> Molecular genetic map of rd23_longi by SSR markers .....	32
<b>Figure 5.</b> QTLs analysis of rhizome related traits .....	32
<b>Figure 6.</b> The <i>rhz2</i> fine mapping .....	32
<b>Figure 7.</b> The <i>rhz3</i> fine mapping .....	32
<b>Figure 8.</b> The PR lines: PR23 .....	33
<b>Figure 9.</b> The reproduction ability of PR23.....	34
<b>Figure 10.</b> The reproduction ability of PR23.....	34
<b>Table 2.</b> Yield variation of PR23 between different growth seasons and sites .....	34
<b>Figure 11.</b> Perennial rice lines grown in Xepon, Savannakhet and Phonengam, Pakxe, Champasak, for one (2011) and two (2011-2012) years, respectively .....	36
<b>Table 3.</b> Analysis of variance of grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) of 13 perennial rice lines grown in Xepon, Savannakhet and Phonengam, Pakxe, Champasak, for one (2011) and two (2011-2012) years, respectively .....	36
<b>Figure 12.</b> The perennial ability test of PR23 in Africa .....	37

### 04 Perennial wheat breeding current germplasm and a way forward for breeding and global cooperation

<b>Table 1.</b> Successive grain yields of hybrid wheat derivatives from wheat x <i>Th. elongatum</i> or wheat x <i>Th. intermedium</i> , and the perennial grass <i>Th. ponticum</i> , grown in Australia .....	44
<b>Figure 1.</b> Chromosome counts (2n) and post-harvest regrowth (PHR) score in the field for a range of control perennial species and wheat hybrid derivatives .....	44

## 05 Evaluation of nine perennial wheat derivatives grown in Italy

<b>Table 1.</b>	Pedigree, chromosome number and post-harvest regrowth (PHR) of nine wheat x wheatgrass derivatives .....	57
<b>Table 2.</b>	Agronomic traits and post-harvest regrowth (PHR) of nine perennial wheat derivatives, two common wheat cultivars and four perennial cereal species .....	60
<b>Table 3.</b>	Protein content, proportion of four protein fractions and SDS sedimentation volume of nine perennial wheat derivatives and two common wheat cultivars .....	61
<b>Figure 1.</b>	SDS-PAGE fractionation of total proteins from nine perennial wheat derivatives .....	62
<b>Figure 2.</b>	SDS-PAGE pattern of total proteins from three single seeds of perennial wheat line 281B .....	62
<b>Table 4.</b>	HMW-GS composition of nine perennial wheat derivatives .....	62
<b>Figure 3.</b>	A-PAGE fractionation of gliadins from nine perennial wheat derivatives .....	63
<b>Figure 4.</b>	A-PAGE fractionation of gliadins from common wheat cv. Chinese Spring (CS) and four single seeds of perennial wheat line 236A .....	63
<b>Table 5.</b>	Mean SKCS value and allele composition at the puroindoline loci in nine perennial wheat derivatives .....	64
<b>Figure 5.</b>	A-PAGE fractionation of puroindolines A (PIN-A) and B (PIN-B) in wheat cv. Chinese Spring (CS) and perennial wheat lines (1) OK72, (2) 244B, (3) 251B, (4) 280B, (5) 236A, (6) OT38, (7) 235A, (8) 11955 and (9) 281B .....	64
<b>Table 6.</b>	Yellow pigment (YP), dietary fibre (DF), 5-n-alkylresorcinols (AR), soluble polyphenols (SP), total starch (TS), resistant starch (RS) and RS/TS ratio in nine perennial wheat derivatives and annual wheat cv. Wedgetail harvested in 2012 (first harvest) .....	65
<b>Table 7.</b>	Homologue profiles (%) of 5-n-alkylresorcinols in nine perennial wheat derivatives .....	66

## 06 Current efforts to develop perennial wheat and domesticate *Thinopyrum intermedium* as a perennial grain

<b>Table 1.</b>	Performance of intermediate wheatgrass populations before (Cycle 0) and following one (Cycle 1) and two (Cycle 2) cycles of selection .....	79
<b>Table 2.</b>	Performance of intermediate wheatgrass at two locations in the second year .....	80
<b>Figure 1.</b>	Response of intermediate wheatgrass to mass selection based on individual seed weight .....	80
<b>Figure 2.</b>	Relationship between harvest index and seed yield plant <sup>-1</sup> in improved and non-improved intermediate wheatgrass .....	82
<b>Figure 3.</b>	Relationship between plant area and seed yield plant <sup>-1</sup> in improved and non-improved intermediate wheatgrass .....	82
<b>Figure 4.</b>	Relationship between plant area and seed yield cm <sup>-2</sup> of plants in improved and non-improved intermediate wheatgrass .....	83
<b>Figure 5.</b>	Relationship between thousand seed weight and initial biomass accumulation in intermediate wheatgrass in the seven days after emergence .....	83
<b>Table 3.</b>	Description of ESTs and EST marker resources for intermediate wheatgrass .....	85

## 07 Viewpoint: multiple-harvest sorghums toward improved food security

<b>Figure 1.</b>	Comparison of annual <i>Sorghum bicolor</i> and perennial <i>S. propinquum</i> .....	93
<b>Figure 2.</b>	(a) Sahel precipitation, 1900-2007 (b) Sahel cropping area, 1960-2010 .....	93

## 08 Breeding and genetics of perennial maize: progress, opportunities and challenges

<b>Figure 1.</b>	<i>Zea diploperennis</i> .....	109
<b>Figure 2.</b>	Differences in roots .....	109



## 09 Evaluating perennial candidates for domestication: lessons from wild sunflower relatives

- Figure 1.** Average yield components over several years in a *Helianthus maximiliani* population undergoing artificial selection for increased seed yield ..... 119
- Table 1.** Means, standard deviations and ranges and heritabilities for several traits measured in several different breeding populations ..... 120
- Figure 2.** Quantity of seed per head histograms show changes in a *Helianthus maximiliani* population undergoing artificial selection for increased apical dominance ..... 122
- Table 2.** Experimental designs ..... 123

## 11 Agriculture redesign through perennial grains: case studies and next steps

- Figure 1.** Based on data presented in Culman *et al.* (2013) comparing the ecosystem services supported by perennial grain IWG with those produced by annual wheat in a field trial conducted at Kellogg Biological Station, MSU, in southwest Michigan. .... 151
- Figure 2.** Dr. Dhruba Thapa, a wheat breeder with the Nepal Agricultural Research Council, highlights the strong regrowth of some of his perennial wheat hybrids..... 152
- Figure 3.** Taller, slower growing pigeon peas complement lower- and faster-growing groundnuts, which are ready for harvest several weeks before pigeon peas mature..... 152
- Figure 4.** Pigeon peas provide intercropping opportunities for farmers ..... 153
- Figure 5.** Shrubby pigeon pea intercrops (SP-intercrop) and shrubby pigeon pea rotations (SP-rotations) decrease fertilizer requirements; improve the value cost ratio (VCR), fertilizer use efficiency, and protein yields; increase carbon and nitrogen assimilation and phosphorus availability; and provide greater cover than monoculture maize ..... 154

## 12 From genetics and breeding to agronomy to ecology

- Figure 1.** Number of shoots emerging in spring per metre of row in spring, 2012, for 'Gypsum 9' (*Sorghum halepense*) and 27 perennial sorghum families selected from three stages (2002, 2006, and 2009) of the Land Institute's breeding programme (circles and left y-axis), together with mean grain yields of the three groups of selections (ovals and right y-axis, with yields of Gypsum 9 and a commercial grain sorghum hybrid, Phillips 664, indicated on the axis.) ..... 164
- Table 1.** Means of six traits for groups of sorghum families ..... 165

## 13 Economics and system applications for perennial grain crops in dryland farming systems in Australia

- Figure 1.** Grain yield required by grain-only perennial cereal to obtain similar 3-year gross margin returns to an annual crop rotation (wheat-wheat-grain legume) across a range of relative growing costs and grain price differentials for perennial wheat compared with the annual crops ..... 172
- Figure 2.** Relative grain yield required for grain-only perennial cereal at different price differentials compared to annual bread wheat to be profitably incorporated onto different soil types of a dryland farm in south-western Australia ..... 173
- Table 1.** Farm profitability, allocation of land to crop and pasture, and livestock numbers and supplementation under an optimal farm plan with and without the integration of a dual-purpose perennial cereal ..... 175
- Table 2.** Sensitivity of area of perennial cereal selected (% of farm) in the optimal farm plan to the timing and amount of forage supplied from a dual-purpose perennial cereal ..... 175
- Table 3.** Sensitivity analysis to lower amounts of additional forage supply and lower relative perennial cereal grain yield on the area of perennial wheat (% of farm area) under the optimal farm plan ..... 176
- Figure 3.** Depictions of alternative farming systems involving permanent perennial cereal crops ..... 179
- Figure 4.** Depiction of a phase rotation involving a three year phase of a perennial cereal crop where subsoil water and mineral N is depleted followed by three years of annual crops and pastures where soil nutrients and subsoil water reserves are replenished ..... 180

<b>Table 4.</b>	Seed yield, harvest index, seed size, crude protein (CP) and fat content of seven undomesticated Australian native legumes compared with two commercial annual grain legumes (chickpea and field pea; highlighted in grey) when grown in a greenhouse under the same conditions .....	182
-----------------	---	-----

<b>Figure 5.</b>	Relationship between area adopted and benefit/cost ratio for an investment of AU\$1 M/year in the development of a perennial crop assuming 25 percent likelihood of success after 10 years (black), 50 percent likelihood of success after 15 years and 75 percent likelihood of success after 20 years and a net economic advantage of US\$20/farm ha (solid lines) and US\$10/farm ha (dotted lines) .....	184
------------------	--	-----

#### **14 From field to table: perspectives and potential for fruit domestication**

<b>Figure 1.</b>	Domestication and improvement bottlenecks for annual versus perennial crops .....	196
------------------	---	-----

#### **15 Development and marketing of perennial grains with benefits for human health and nutrition**

<b>Table 1.</b>	Nutritional analysis (100 g serving) .....	210
<b>Table 2.</b>	Percent essential amino acids in protein: Indian rice grass (IRG) vs. wheat .....	211
<b>Table 3.</b>	Annual seed yield.....	211
<b>Figure 1.</b>	Insect predation on 3 high-lysine lines compared to the normal lysine line HL37-A1 .....	214

#### **16 Intercropping of legumes with cereal crops in particular with the perennials to enhance forage yields and quality**

<b>Table 1.</b>	Yields of some leguminous forage crops (tonnes/ha) .....	224
<b>Table 2.</b>	Green and dry matter yields (tonnes/ha) of oats, barley, and vetch at two sites in 1994-1997 .....	224
<b>Table 3.</b>	Green and dry matter yields (tonnes/ha) of legumes and oats at Gilgit in 1993-1994 .....	225
<b>Table 4.</b>	Effect of mixed sowing on green and dry matter yields (tonnes/ha) of Lucerne, red clover, and oats at three sites in 1996-1997 .....	225
<b>Table 5.</b>	Green and dry matter yields (tonnes/ha) of lucerne varieties .....	226

#### **17 Development of continuous living cover breeding programmes to enhance agriculture's contribution to ecosystem services**

<b>Table 1.</b>	Brief description of some of the crops that the University of Minnesota is working on to increase year-round ground cover .....	233
<b>Figure 1.</b>	Shows synergistic relationship among stakeholder engagement, breeding, agronomics, and modeling that are a part of the Forever Green initiative's attempt to develop a Reflective Plant-Breeding Paradigm .....	235
<b>Figure 2.</b>	Corn-yield distributions created at random from empirical data, used to model yield across the landscape .....	240
<b>Figure 3.</b>	Relative sediment loss risk derived from the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) over a 10-year cropping system of eight different cropping rotations .....	241
<b>Figure 4.</b>	Relative total net economic return for a 10-year cropping system of seven different crop rotations... ..	242
<b>Figure 5.</b>	Ten-year mean for net return per hectare from USDA-ERS, 2001-2010 .....	243
<b>Figure 6.</b>	Ecological tradeoff for seven different crop rotations as cropped land in Watonwan County, Minnesota, is changed from 100 percent prairie to 100 percent of each of the different crop rotations .....	244

#### **19 Perennial grain systems: a sustainable response to future food security challenges**

<b>Figure 1.</b>	The four components of agricultural sustainability .....	258
<b>Figure 2.</b>	Drivers and constraints affecting farmers' decisions .....	259
<b>Figure 3.</b>	Examples of sustainability indicators .....	261
<b>Figure 4.</b>	Comparing ecosystem services under three land-use regimes .....	261



## 20 Perennial grains: beyond bootlegging, feasibility and proof-of-concept

<b>Figure 1.</b> Contrasting soil profiles in USA (left) and SSA (right). .....	267
<b>Figure 2.</b> High altitude perennial wheat in Western Nepal .....	268
<b>Figure 3.</b> Adlai grass for conservation agriculture systems. SANREM CRSP .....	269
<b>Figure 4.</b> Intercropping of pigeon peas and ground nut .....	270
<b>Figure 5.</b> Programme for Sustainable Intensification .....	270
<b>Figure 6.</b> Perennial grain breeding programmes .....	271

## 21 A new species of wheat that continues to grow after harvest

<b>Figure 1.</b> Fluorescent genomic <i>in situ</i> hybridization (FGISH) of Salish Blue. ....	277
<b>Table 1.</b> Summary of SSR and CAPS marker analysis. Markers which detected no <i>Thinopyrum</i> polymorphisms are excluded.....	277

## 22 Twelve principles for better food and more food from mature perennial agroecosystems

<b>Box 1.</b> Twelve principles for improved food security within Multifunctional Agriculture and enhanced rural development.....	284
<b>Figure 1.</b> Fruits of safou ( <i>Dacryodes edulis</i> ) from a market in Yaoundé in Cameroon, with their associated price which recognizes both size and flavour .....	288
<b>Figure 2.</b> Diagrammatic representation of the cycle of land degradation and associated social deprivation .....	293
<b>Figure 3.</b> Diagrammatic representation of the yield gap and the steps required to close the gap .....	294
<b>Figure 4.</b> Diagrammatic representation of Multifunctional Agriculture and its goals .....	297
<b>Figure 5.</b> Diagrammatic representation of how the three steps to close the yield gap impact on food security, poverty and livelihoods (sustainable intensification) .....	300

## 23 Perennial crops and trees: targeting the opportunities within a farming systems context

<b>Figure 1.</b> Global distribution of length of growing period .....	310
<b>Figure 2.</b> Distribution of major farming systems across developing regions .....	311
<b>Table 1.</b> Present and potential roles of perennials in different farming systems classes .....	313

## 24 Perennial polycultures: how do we assemble a truly sustainable agricultural system?

<b>Figure 1.</b> Variability in stand in a herbaceous perennial forage species .....	327
<b>Figure 2.</b> Competition in an herbaceous perennial seed production field with unseeded species occurring .....	327
<b>Figure 3.</b> a) Mean beginning and finishing of flowering times of seven native legume species at Seton, MB, from 2010-2013; b) mean overlap of flowering periods of native legumes at Seton, MB, for 2010-2013.....	329

## 25 Agronomic management of perennial wheat derivatives: using case studies from Australia to identify challenges

<b>Figure 1.</b> Perennial grass and annual forage legume .....	348
---	-----



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>ACIAR</b>	Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research
<b>AFLP</b>	Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism
<b>AG</b>	Agriculture and Consumer Protection Department
<b>AGP</b>	Plant Production and Protection Division
<b>AGPM</b>	Ecosystem Approach to Crop Production Intensification, Plant Production and Protection Division
<b>AgriSA</b>	Centre for Agriculture and Food Systems Analysis and Synthesis
<b>AH</b>	Agricultural Handbook
<b>A-PAGE</b>	Acidic Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis
<b>AR</b>	5-n-alkylresorcinols
<b>ArcGIS</b>	Esri Geographic Information System software
<b>ASEAN</b>	Association of Southeast Asian Nations
<b>ASL</b>	Above Sea Level
<b>CA</b>	Conservation Agriculture
<b>Ca</b>	Calcium
<b>CAPS</b>	Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequence
<b>CAWT</b>	Conservation Agriculture With Trees
<b>CBOs</b>	Community Based Organizations
<b>CGIAR</b>	Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research
<b>CIMMYT</b>	International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center
<b>CP</b>	Crude Protein Content
<b>CPS</b>	Maize/Pennycross/Soybean
<b>CRA</b>	Consiglio per la Ricerca e la sperimentazione in Agricoltura
<b>CRC-PbMDS</b>	Cooperative Research Centre for Plant-based Management of Dryland Salinity
<b>CRC-FFI</b>	Cooperative Research Centre for Future Farm Industries
<b>CRS</b>	Corn (maize)/Rye/Soybean
<b>CS</b>	Corn (maize)/Soybean
<b>CSIRO</b>	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
<b>CTAB</b>	Cetyltrimethyl Ammonium Bromide
<b>cv.</b>	cultivar
<b>cvs</b>	cultivars
<b>DF</b>	Dietary Fibre
<b>DM</b>	Dry Matter
<b>DNA</b>	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
<b>ERS</b>	Economic Research Service
<b>EST</b>	Expressed Sequence Tags
<b>FAO</b>	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
<b>GBS</b>	Genotyping-By-Sequencing
<b>GBSSI</b>	Granule-Bound Starch-Synthase
<b>GIS</b>	Geographic Information System





<b>GISH</b>	Genomic <i>In Situ</i> Hybridization
<b>GRDC</b>	Grains Research and Development Corporation
<b>GRIN</b>	Germplasm Resources Information Network
<b>GS</b>	Genomic Selection
<b>gSSURGO</b>	Gridded Soil Survey Geographic
<b>GWAS</b>	Genome-Wide Association Studies
<b>HMW-GS</b>	High Molecular Weight-Glutenin Subunits
<b>IAASTD</b>	International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development
<b>ICRAF</b>	World Agroforestry Centre
<b>ICRISAT</b>	The International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid-Tropics
<b>IRRI</b>	The International Rice Research Institute
<b>LD</b>	Linkage Disequilibrium
<b>LiDAR</b>	Light Detection and Ranging
<b>LMW-GS</b>	Low Molecular Weight-Glutenin Subunits
<b>MAS</b>	Marker Assisted Selection
<b>Mistra</b>	Swedish Foundation for Strategic Environmental Research
<b>MSTATC</b>	Microcomputer Program for the Design, Management, and Analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments
<b>NAD</b>	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide
<b>NERICA</b>	New Rice for Africa
<b>NGOs</b>	Non-Governmental Organizations
<b>NGS</b>	Next Generation Sequencing
<b>NLCD</b>	National Land Cover Dataset
<b>NRCS</b>	Natural Resources Conservation Services
<b>NSW DPI</b>	New South Wales Department of Primary Industries
<b>NUE</b>	N-Uptake Efficiency
<b>PCF</b>	Protein Conversion Factor
<b>PHR</b>	Post-Harvest Regrowth
<b>PIN-A</b>	Puroindoline A
<b>PIN-B</b>	Puroindoline B
<b>QTLs</b>	Quantitative Trait Loci
<b>RFLP</b>	Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism
<b>R/qtl</b>	an extensible, interactive environment for mapping quantitative trait loci
<b>RNA</b>	Ribonucleic Acid
<b>RNA-seq</b>	sequencing of Ribonucleic Acid
<b>rRNA</b>	Ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid
<b>RS</b>	Resistant Starch
<b>RUSLE</b>	Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation
<b>UNEP</b>	United Nations Environment Program
<b>SDS</b>	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate
<b>SDS-PAGE</b>	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis

<b>SLU</b>	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences
<b>SKCS</b>	Single Kernel Characterization System
<b>SNPs</b>	Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms
<b>SP</b>	Soluble Polyphenols
<b>sp.</b>	species (singular)
<b>spp.</b>	species (plural)
<b>SSR</b>	Simple-Sequence Repeats
<b>TL</b>	Tillers per plant
<b>TNC</b>	Total Non-structural Carbohydrate
<b>UNEAK</b>	Universal Network Enabled Analysis Kit
<b>USDA</b>	United States Department of Agriculture
<b>UTM</b>	Universal Transverse Mercator
<b>WSU</b>	Washington State University
<b>YP</b>	Yellow Pigments









# INTRODUCTION

## PERENNIAL CROPS FOR FOOD SECURITY

*Stan Cox*

The Land Institute, 2440 E. Water Well Rd., Salina, Kansas, 67401, United States of America  
Email: [cox@landinstitute.org](mailto:cox@landinstitute.org), Phone: (+1) 785 823 5376

**I**nterest in breeding new perennial grain crops first arose in the early twentieth century, but it has been only in the past few years that the potential benefits of developing perennial grain-based cropping systems and the need to do so have become widely acknowledged. The subject is now drawing the attention of major scientific societies, leading journals, and governmental agencies. Much of that recent attention has been focused on the ecological benefits that communities of perennial plants can confer on a landscape: erosion prevention, efficient capture and use of water and nutrients, protection of water resources, carbon sequestration, and maintenance of thriving soil ecosystems. But with food security and rural livelihoods becoming an increasingly serious concern throughout the world, there is growing recognition of the potential benefits that intercropping of perennial grains offers smallholder farmers: reduced expenditure for seed, fertilizer, and other inputs; more reliable stand establishment and early vigour; less effort expended on weed control; extended growing seasons; less transplanting or other stoop labour, especially for women; and protection of biodiversity.

Perhaps the most important benefit of perennial agriculture will be the protection and development of healthy soil ecosystems that can ensure food security over the long term. That would achieve an important reversal of what is now an alarming trend. In 2011, the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) released its report *The State of the World's Land and Water Resources for Food and Agriculture*, concluding that 25 percent of the world's food-producing soils are highly degraded or are rapidly being degraded and that if moderately degraded soils are included, one-third of Earth's entire endowment of cropland is under threat. Loss of productive soil is most severe in the Himalayan and Andean regions; semi-arid tropical regions of Africa and India; rice-growing lands of Southeast Asia; and areas of intensive and industrialised farming throughout the world. Eighteen countries - nine of them in sub-Saharan Africa and four in Southeast Asia - now see more than half of their entire land area degrading rapidly. And while past production increases have received much of their impetus from irrigation, future freshwater resources are in at least as much trouble as the world's soils.



It is in this context that FAO, along with Italy's Council on Agricultural Research (CRA), Australia's Charles Sturt University, and The Land Institute in the United States, joined to host a meeting on Perennial Crops for Food Security in Rome in August, 2013. Seeing on the one hand the possibility that perennial crops can help address soil and water degradation, economic stresses, and malnutrition in food-insecure countries and on the other hand the emerging body of research on various aspects of perennial grain crops being produced by plant breeders, geneticists, agronomists, agro-ecologists, social scientists, and policy experts around the world, FAO determined that the time had come to bring together the key people involved in these disparate efforts. Forty-one people from ten nations participated in the meeting. The goals were to aggregate and put in context all research done on perennial grains up to now, begin forming a researchers' network, and plan for more extensive, well-coordinated and better-supported research in coming years. Essential to that effort will be drawing many more researchers and organizations into the perennial world.

The chapters that follow expand on the intense discussions that occurred in Rome. They provide a broad picture of the current state of perennial grain development and the diverse directions in which it is heading. Research on perennial cereals, grain legumes, and oilseeds, along with the cropping systems into which they will be assembled, can benefit from methods and technologies that have been well developed for staple grains grown currently. But to succeed, those methods must be supplemented by knowledge and experience, new and old, that applies uniquely to perennial crops. Domesticators and breeders of perennial grains have much to learn not only from farmers' experience but also from methods used in breeding woody perennials and perennial forage crops. Genomics research is already well-accustomed to moving across species boundaries, multiplying its possibilities. Meanwhile, working first with prototypes of perennial grains and later with improved lines and cultivars, agronomists, agro-ecologists, plant pathologists, and other researchers will face not only new challenges but also vast new opportunities to take advantage of natural processes that can improve and ensure food production. Grain quality and nutrition researchers, like all of the others mentioned above, will require significant input from farmers in the regions where the new perennial crops will be grown, as well as from social, economic, and policy analyses.

Emerging molecular-scale techniques have the potential to greatly improve the efficiency of perennial-grain breeding, but genotypic analyses cannot substitute for extensive phenotypic evaluations in diverse field locations. The three chief sets of traits that researchers are attempting to bring together—perenniality, productivity, and grain quality—are highly complex genetically, and they are strongly influenced by their environment. Relative expression of perenniality and other traits among genotypes is almost certain to vary widely over the diverse range of landscape positions, soils, climates, stresses, farming methods, and human preferences that perennial grains will encounter. Breeding populations must experience an

adequate sample of all those factors, and must do so in the region where they are expected to be grown. The authors of this book take us on a tour of the ecological and human landscape where perennial grains currently grow and are being developed. Along the way, they relate how in their experience diverse scientific disciplines can converge to make perennial agriculture a mainstreamed reality. While this book furthers the exchange of knowledge and experience (and, one hopes, of plants and seed as well), its ultimate goal is to begin charting a course that will take perennial-grain research—which now consists of geographically and scientifically diverse, conceptually bold, but largely autonomous and independent projects—and weave them into a global network that can make this new agricultural concept a reality. In the interest of doing that, the final chapter attempts to lay out that course toward the new landscapes of the future.